



Resource Management Awards Program Individual/**Team** Nomination Form

Nominations must be received by 13 November 2014

Award Category: (Select One)

- | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Accounting/Finance | <input type="checkbox"/> Budgeting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resource Mgmt | <input type="checkbox"/> Analysis/Evaluation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cost Analysis | <input type="checkbox"/> Auditing | <input type="checkbox"/> Intern * | <input type="checkbox"/> Author of the Year |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Comptroller/Deputy Comptroller | | <input type="checkbox"/> Education/Training/Career Development | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Resource Mgmt/Acquisition | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cost Savings Initiative | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Neil R. Ginnett Award | | <input type="checkbox"/> LTG (Retired) Jerry L. Sinn | |

(* Intern/Trainee From: YY/MM/DD _____ To: _____)

☐ Individual Award ☒ **Team Award**

Nominee Data

Command Level (Must be checked)

- ☐ HQDA, ACOM, ASCC, DRU Headquarters
☒ **Below ACOM, ASCC, DRU Headquarters**

** Command:

Name: _____

Position/Title:

Office Address: (Include Zip Code or APO/FPO #)

Telephone:

DSN/FTS

COMMERCIAL

Facsimile:

DSN/FTS

COMMERCIAL

E-Mail Address: (Required) _____

Nominator Data

Name: _____

Signature

Date



Resource Management Awards Program Individual/Team Nomination Form

Team Members:
(One Team)

RANK/GRADE

1. C	GS-11
2. A	GS-14
3. L	LTC
4. C	GS-13
5. M	GS-13
6. M	GS-13
7. M	GS-13
8. V	GS-13
9. F	MAJ
10. T	GS-12
11. J	GS-12
12. M	GS-12
13. S	GS-12
14. D	GS-12
15. H	GS-12
16. J	CPT
17. M	GS-11
18. J	1LT
19. E	GS-09
20. S	GS-09



Resource Management Awards Program Individual/Team Nomination Form

Justification Data; Specific FY Accomplishments (must be limited to one page)

Name: [REDACTED]

Tasking/Responsibilities of the team included: Prior to September 2014, neither the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] were able to provide the Army Leadership with the actual training cost of a unit rotation at the [REDACTED] because funding was sent to multiple organizations. Each unit in turn funded their part of the rotation thus not allowing Army Leadership the ability to have one point of contact to capture the cost of the rotation. This project involved having [REDACTED] set up the sole General Fund Enterprise Business System (GFEBS) funding Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) using Cost Management Concepts, which the multiple organizations involved in the rotation used. This new process provided transparency of unit rotational costs and is projected to save the Army Training PEG 30 to 40 Million Dollars annually.

The Accomplishments of the team included:

- After the team provided an extensive decision brief to the FORSCOM leadership, 100 % of the Combat [REDACTED] Funding, for non-supply purchases, during Rotation 14-10.5 was provided to the [REDACTED] for oversight.
- The funding for supply purchases (EOR 2600) was provided to the rotational unit, as part of their normal OPTEMPO funding, but was transferred to the [REDACTED] set up for the rotation.
- The [REDACTED] successfully set up the sole WBS structure, in GFEBS, to capture all of the Rotational Costs. This process tapped in to the unlimited capabilities already available in GFEBS and was modeled after standard commercial procedures used at Fortune 500 Companies such as one of the Shell Oil Company Offices located in New Orleans, LA.
- [REDACTED] "world class" transparent and accurate cost management method of capturing the costs of the [REDACTED] so commanders, at all levels of the Army, could make real-time resource informed decisions.

The Significance of the team project includes:

- FORSCOM and Senior Army Leadership now have reliable improved "real-time" visibility of the training cost of a Brigade Combat Team (BCT) [REDACTED] at the [REDACTED]. We can now answer the CSA's question "How much does it cost to train a [REDACTED]" with confidence.
- Having visibility over rotational costs allows for the more accurate planning and programming of rotational training costs during the POM process.
- Having visibility over each aspect of the rotational costs, allows decision makers to focus on cost drivers in an effort to minimize rotation costs without reducing the training effect of the rotation.
- The new process:
 - Is proactive, continuous, and deliberate.
 - Is transparent through all levels of command.
 - Allows decisions to be made early enough to effect change.
 - Is effective at providing a quality Culminating Training Event but is also efficient.
- This proven Cost Management Process is projected to save the Army Training PEG 30 to 40 Million Dollars, at the [REDACTED], annually.