Calendar No. 448

104TH CONGRESS, 2d Session | SENATE | REPORT 104–287

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 1997

JUNE 20, 1996.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. BURNS, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 3517]

The Committee on Appropriations, to which was referred the bill (H.R. 3517) making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, and for other purposes, reports the same to the Senate with amendments and recommends that the bill as amended do pass.

Amount of bill passed by House	$10,032,309,000
Amount of Senate bill under the House	200,000,000
Total of bill as reported to Senate	9,832,309,000
Amount of 1997 budget estimate	9,132,309,000
Amount of 1996 appropriations	11,177,009,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	
Over the budget estimate, 1997	700,000,000
Under appropriations for fiscal year 1996	1,344,700,000

25–345 cc

CONTENTS

	Page
Background:	
Purpose of the bill	3
Comparative statement	3
Summary of the bill	7
Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act	7
Committee recommendation	7
Items of special interest	8
Military construction, Army	15
Military construction, Navy	15
Military construction, Air Force	16
Military construction, Defense-wide	17
Military construction, Guard and Reserve components	19
NATO Security Investment Program	22
Family housing overview	23
Family housing, Army	24
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	24
Family housing, Air Force	24
Family housing, Defense-wide	25
Family housing improvement fund	25
Homeowners assistance fund	25
Base closure overview	26
Base realignment and closure account, part I	32
Base realignment and closure account, part II	32
Base realignment and closure account, part III	32
Base realignment and closure account, part IV	33
General provisions	34
Compliance with paragraph 7, rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	34
Compliance with paragraph 7(c), rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	34
Compliance with paragraph 12, rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	35
Project listing by location	36

BACKGROUND

Purpose of the Bill

The Military Construction appropriation bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation, and maintenance of military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance may be provided, as well as assistance to members of the military who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realignments and closures. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law.

Comparative Statement

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling $9,832,309,000 for fiscal year 1997 military construction, family housing, and base closure. The following table displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, the President's fiscal year 1997 request, and the House allowance:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY

Item	1996 adjusted	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (−) compared with—		
					1996 adjusted	Budget estimate	House allowance
Military construction, Army	$633,814,000	$434,723,000	$603,584,000	$448,973,000	−$184,841,000	+$14,250,000	−$154,611,000
Rescission	−6,385,000			−2,028,000	+4,357,000	−2,028,000	−2,028,000
Total, military construction, Army (net)	627,429,000	434,723,000	603,584,000	446,945,000	−180,484,000	+12,222,000	−156,639,000
Military construction, Navy	554,636,000	525,346,000	724,476,000	642,484,000	+87,848,000	+117,138,000	−81,992,000
Rescissions	−6,385,000		−12,000,000	−11,300,000	−4,915,000	−11,300,000	+700,000
Total, military construction, Navy (net)	548,251,000	525,346,000	712,476,000	631,184,000	+82,933,000	+105,838,000	−81,292,000
Military construction, Air Force	587,234,000	603,059,000	678,914,000	704,689,000	+117,455,000	+101,630,000	+25,775,000
Rescissions	−15,150,000			−2,100,000	+13,050,000	−2,100,000	−2,100,000
Total, military construction, Air Force (net)	572,084,000	603,059,000	678,914,000	702,589,000	+130,505,000	+99,530,000	+23,675,000
Military construction, Defense-wide	640,357,000	812,945,000	772,345,000	771,758,000	+131,401,000	−41,187,000	−587,000
Rescissions	−41,866,000			−7,000,000	+34,866,000	−7,000,000	−7,000,000
Total, military construction, Defense-wide (net)	598,491,000	812,945,000	772,345,000	764,758,000	+166,267,000	−48,187,000	−7,587,000
Total, active components	2,346,255,000	2,376,073,000	2,767,319,000	2,545,476,000	+199,221,000	+169,403,000	−221,843,000
Department of Defense military unaccompanied housing improvement fund			10,000,000				−10,000,000
Military construction, Army National Guard	137,110,000	7,600,000	41,316,000	142,948,000	+5,838,000	+135,348,000	+101,632,000
Military construction, Air National Guard	171,272,000	75,394,000	118,394,000	224,444,000	+53,172,000	+149,050,000	+106,050,000
Rescission	−6,700,000				+6,700,000		
Total, military construction, Air National Guard (net)	164,572,000	75,394,000	118,394,000	224,444,000	+59,872,000	+149,050,000	+106,050,000
Military construction, Army Reserve	72,728,000	48,459,000	50,159,000	75,474,000	+2,746,000	+27,015,000	+25,315,000
Military construction, Naval Reserve	19,055,000	10,983,000	33,169,000	49,883,000	+30,828,000	+38,900,000	+16,714,000
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	36,482,000	51,655,000	51,655,000	67,805,000	+31,323,000	+16,150,000	+16,150,000

Total, Reserve components	429,947,000	194,091,000	294,693,000	560,554,000	+130,607,000	+366,463,000	+265,861,000
Total, military construction	2,776,202,000	2,570,164,000	3,072,012,000	3,106,030,000	+329,828,000	+535,866,000	+34,018,000
Appropriations	(2,852,688,000)	(2,570,164,000)	(3,084,012,000)	(3,128,458,000)	(+275,770,000)	(+558,294,000)	(+44,446,000)
Rescissions	(−76,486,000)	.....	(−12,000,000)	(−22,428,000)	(+54,058,000)	(−22,428,000)	(−10,428,000)
NATO Security Investment Program	161,000,000	197,000,000	177,000,000	172,000,000	+11,000,000	−25,000,000	−5,000,000
Supplemental appropriation	37,500,000	.....	.....	.....	−37,500,000	.....	.....
Total, NATO	198,500,000	197,000,000	177,000,000	172,000,000	−26,500,000	−25,000,000	−5,000,000
Family housing, Army:							
Construction	116,656,000	75,013,000	176,603,000	189,319,000	+72,663,000	+114,306,000	+12,716,000
Operation and maintenance	1,335,596,000	1,212,466,000	1,257,466,000	1,212,466,000	−123,130,000	.....	−45,000,000
Total, family housing, Army	1,452,252,000	1,287,479,000	1,434,069,000	1,401,785,000	−50,467,000	+114,306,000	−32,284,000
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps:							
Construction	525,058,000	403,726,000	532,456,000	418,326,000	−106,732,000	+14,600,000	−114,130,000
Operation and maintenance	1,048,329,000	1,014,241,000	1,058,241,000	1,014,241,000	−34,088,000	.....	−44,000,000
Total, family housing, Navy	1,573,387,000	1,417,967,000	1,590,697,000	1,432,567,000	−140,820,000	+14,600,000	−158,130,000
Family housing, Air Force:							
Construction	297,738,000	231,236,000	304,068,000	291,464,000	−6,274,000	+60,228,000	−12,604,000
Operation and maintenance	849,213,000	829,474,000	840,474,000	829,474,000	−19,739,000	.....	−11,000,000
Total, family housing, Air Force	1,146,951,000	1,060,710,000	1,144,542,000	1,120,938,000	−26,013,000	+60,228,000	−23,604,000
Family housing, Defense-wide:							
Construction	3,772,000	4,371,000	4,371,000	4,371,000	+599,000	.....	.....
Operation and maintenance	30,467,000	30,963,000	30,963,000	30,963,000	+496,000	.....	.....
Total, family housing, Defense-wide	34,239,000	35,334,000	35,334,000	35,334,000	+1,095,000	.....	.....
Department of Defense family housing improvement fund	22,000,000	20,000,000	35,000,000	20,000,000	−2,000,000	.....	−15,000,000
Homeowners assistance fund, Defense	75,586,000	36,181,000	36,181,000	36,181,000	−39,405,000	.....	.....
Total, family housing	4,304,415,000	3,857,671,000	4,275,823,000	4,046,805,000	−257,610,000	+189,134,000	−229,018,000
Construction	(943,224,000)	(714,346,000)	(1,017,498,000)	(903,480,000)	(−39,744,000)	(+189,134,000)	(−114,018,000)
Operation and maintenance	(3,263,605,000)	(3,087,144,000)	(3,187,144,000)	(3,087,144,000)	(−176,461,000)	.....	(−100,000,000)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued

Item	1996 adjusted	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (−) compared with—		
					1996 adjusted	Budget estimate	House allowance
Family housing improvement fund	(22,000,000)	(20,000,000)	(35,000,000)	(20,000,000)	(−2,000,000)		(−15,000,000)
Homeowners assistance fund	(75,586,000)	(36,181,000)	(36,181,000)	(36,181,000)	(−39,405,000)		
Base realignment and closure accounts:							
Part II	964,843,000	352,800,000	352,800,000	352,800,000	−612,043,000		
Part III	2,148,480,000	971,925,000	971,925,000	971,925,000	−1,176,555,000		
Part IV	784,569,000	1,182,749,000	1,182,749,000	1,182,749,000	+398,180,000		
Total, base realignment and closure accounts	3,897,892,000	2,507,474,000	2,507,474,000	2,507,474,000	−1,390,418,000		
Grand total:							
New budget (obligational) authority	11,177,009,000	9,132,309,000	10,032,309,000	9,832,309,000	−1,344,700,000	+700,000,000	−200,000,000
Appropriations	(11,253,495,000)	(9,132,309,000)	(10,044,309,000)	(9,854,737,000)	(−1,398,758,000)	(+722,428,000)	(−189,572,000)
Rescissions	(−76,486,000)		(−12,000,000)	(−22,428,000)	(+54,058,000)	(−22,428,000)	(−10,428,000)

SUMMARY OF THE BILL

The Committee recommends a total of $9,832,309,000 in new budget authority/appropriations for fiscal year 1997 programs under its jurisdiction. Significant comparisons are noted below:

Fiscal year 1997 budget request: Budget authority	$9,132,309,000
Committee recommendation for fiscal year 1997: Budget authority	9,832,309,000
Which is—	
Under the appropriation for fiscal year 1996 by	1,344,700,000
Over the budget request by	700,000,000
Under the House appropriation by	200,000,000

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 308 OF THE BUDGET CONTROL ACT

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the first concurrent resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93–344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount of bill	Committee allocation	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts in the First Concurrent Resolution for 1997: Subcommittee on Military Construction:				
Defense discretionary	9,833	9,832	10,375	[1] 10,319
Projections of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
1997				[2] 3,115
1998				3,156
1999				1,912
2000				977
2001 and future year				511
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 1997 in bill	NA		NA	

[1] Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.
[2] Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

As previously noted, the Committee is recommending new fiscal year 1997 appropriations of $9,832,309,000. This is $700,000,000 over the budget request, $200,000,000 under the House appropriations, and $1,344,700,000 under the appropriations for fiscal year 1996. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following "Items of special interest," and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation. Complete project detail is provided in the tables at the end of the report.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The Committee strongly supports the authorization-appropriation process. However, the Committee has reported the appropriation bill prior to completion of the authorization process. Therefore, the Committee has provided construction funds for specific projects which were included in the Senate-reported version of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 and projects subject to authorization.

BASIS FOR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee's 602(b) budget allocation is $199,309,000 less than the bill passed by the House of Representatives. The Committee has provided only for the highest priority military construction requirements. The Committee has given priority to projects approved by the Senate Armed Services Committee in the national defense authorization bill. It has also added projects which will be considered during debate on S. 1745.

The Committee has utilized this approach in order to allow for the competition of projects in the House-Senate conference committee. Senators are urged to work with the military services and the Department of Defense to secure funding of priority projects prior to future budget submissions.

ARMY MODERNIZATION

The Department's ability to build modern facilities contributes to the readiness posture of our military. Our Armed Forces can have the best weapon systems in the world, but they will be worth little without intelligent, committed, and well trained people to operate them during a conflict. To retain these people we must properly house and equip them. In the last few years, emphasis has been placed on readiness. This effort has been paid at the expense of modernization. As we look to the future, the emphasis will shift to modernization. This definition of modernization should include the modernization of infrastructure. The battle for these resources inside the Pentagon should include not just ships, planes, and tanks, but also the infrastructure that supports them. These infrastructure investments are as vital to effective future military capabilities as any other readiness component.

It is understood that you cannot fight a war without appropriate infrastructure. We will be able to recruit, train, and retain more capable people with modern facilities. In the future, we will not be able to retain service members if they are not provided a healthy living environment. A force composed of quality people will ensure that we can effectively face any unforeseen military challenge.

Acquisition will most likely always get the majority of funds appropriated. However, as future requirements for these funds increase the infrastructure account will no longer be able to compete with weapons systems unless they are considered a part of that system. The Committee commends the Air Force and the Navy for their approach to funding infrastructure. When the Air Force buys JSTARS, it buys the required infrastructure tail to accomplish the mission. When the Navy buys an aircraft carrier, it builds the needed support facilities. The Committee urges the Army to follow this approach.

Unless the Army changes its approach to the acquisition of systems and the support that belongs with them, it will face a worsening infrastructure situation. Operation Desert Shield/Desert Storm demonstrated the range of capabilities that can be brought to bear on the modern battlefield. Old paradigms of "tank vs. tank" have become less relevent in the context of air, sea, and undersea strike, and counterforce systems. If the Army's capabilities become less relevant, decisions will be made for the Army and their choices will become fewer and fewer. This is sure to occur unless their acquisition methods change. Logistics and infrastructure tails must be provided as systems are created and modernized.

FAMILY SUPPORT CENTERS

The Committee recognizes that the family is instrumental in determining the efficiency, productivity, and morale of our Armed Forces. Family support centers provide programs and services to assist families in adapting to a unique environment. These programs and services should include emergency financial assistance, transition assistance for retiring and separating service members, and assistance for housing, education, and employment. The Committee also urges that family support centers include financial management programs for families and training in family life skills.

The Committee has included $4,250,000 for family support centers at the Air Force Academy, Colorado Springs, CO, and Andrews AFB, MD. The Committee urges the Department to program and budget for additional family support centers in fiscal year 1998. The Committee endorses the family support center concept and will give favorable consideration to future efforts to create such centers.

COMPUTERIZED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS FOR INFRASTRUCTURE

The Committee commends the Alaska National Guard for its efforts to create cost efficiencies by installing computerized management systems in its facilities. The Alaska National Guard has realized substantial savings on maintenance and fuel costs by the use of these systems. The Committee urges other National Guard Adjutants General to consider this approach during these austere budgetary times.

DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE

The Committee understands the importance of the consolidation of over 300 defense accounting offices. The decisions for this reorganization were made before the completion of the recent drawdown

of our forces. The Committee directs that no further expansion resulting from this consolidation may occur until the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller/chief financial officer) certifies that additional construction and alteration of facilities is required.

THE MARSH PANEL ON QUALITY OF LIFE

The Committee agrees with the need for implementation of the recommendations of the Defense Science Board Task Force on Quality of Life as directed by House Report No. 104–591.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

The Committee agrees with the Marsh panel that historic quarters maintained by the military are overburdening the housing accounts. In the future, this situation will only worsen as the number of homes eligible for placement on the register continues to grow. There are 2,675 homes on the national historic register which must comply with the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. Any substantive work on these houses must receive prior approval from the various historic preservation boards. The Committee directs each service to review current inventories of historic quarters and provide a report to the appropriate committees on specific plans to remove all but the most significant historic homes. The report should provide what statutory impediments are being encountered in implementing such plans. The report should be provided to the Committee not later than March 28, 1997.

HOUSING FOR OUR SERVICE MEMBERS

The Committee continues to recognize the need for better barracks for our service members. The three services have a combined estimated deficit of 292,391 barracks spaces. The Committee supports the continued efforts being made in the "Real property maintenance" account of the Defense Appropriation Act to renovate barracks. This effort is critical at a time when resources are limited. The Committee, however, is concerned with the Navy's commitment to a better quality of life for its men and women. In the future, the Committee will not be able to support additional barracks renovations for the Navy if funding for real property maintenance is diverted to pay for other requirements.

Also, the 1 plus 1 standard, which consists of two rooms with a bath and kitchenette, does not appear to be accepted by all of the services as a standard. While the module would accommodate two enlisted personnel, it does not appear that all of the services can readily afford this standard, nor want it. Also, the fiscal year 1997 President's request reflects that it is not a standard which the Army, Navy, or Marine Corps can meet in the near future. It is, however, a goal which the services should strive to achieve when appropriate.

PRIVATIZATION

The Committee continues to support the Department's efforts to develop new privatization initiatives. It appears that these initiatives are still in the early stages of development. The Committee commends the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Installa-

tions for the effort that has been made to create this initiative. At this time, a new program for privatization would be premature. The Committee directs the Department to implement a pilot program which would accomplish the goals of the Department and allow flexibility at the service level.

MODULAR HOUSING

The Committee agrees with the Marsh panel in that modular housing standards have dramatically increased. With production, assembly, and quality control able to be performed inside a factory, the value of these homes can be greater than a traditionally built home. Modular housing, however, is not the best choice for every application. The Committee believes that quality modular homes should be considered as a valid alternative to traditional housing. The Committee encourages the Department to begin a pilot program at a location where manufactured housing would effectively meet a housing requirement.

CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

The Committee supports the efforts made by the Department to provide child care facilities to the families of service members. The Committee again notices that none of the services are meeting the Department's goal for child care. Last year, the Committee directed the Navy to program fiscal year 1997 funding for this effort. The Navy only requested two projects for fiscal year 1997. To facilitate this effort the Committee does not recommend approval of $9,630,000 for sand replenishment in San Diego County, CA. Instead, these funds are provided for child development centers.

SOUTHERN COMMAND AREA CONSTRUCTION

As in previous years, the Committee directs the Department to submit a 5-year construction plan for the Southern Command's area of operations by April 20, 1997. The report should include a discussion of all the operations and potential costs being considered by the Department for the relocation of the Southern Command from Panama. In addition, the report should include all construction-related activities which will be associated with counterdrug operations. The report should also reflect construction expenditures from all DOD accounts.

In the April report the Department is also directed to include all construction, including construction from exercises, from any source since the beginning of fiscal year 1993. The report should include the project, its cost, justification as to why it was needed, and whether the project is still being used by either U.S. forces or host nation personnel. Also, the report should mention whether usage is on a full- or part-time basis.

The Committee is dissatisfied with the timeliness in delivery of this report. The Committee directs that if the report is not delivered on or before April 20, 1997, an administrative hold will be immediately applied to all unobligated military construction funding available to the Southern Command.

OVERSEAS CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recognizes the need to continue funding overseas construction projects. The Army and Air Force have substantially reduced the number of installations in Germany and other European countries. The Committee recognizes the need to provide for improvements to the remaining quality of life facilities overseas.

Our negotiators continue to work toward compensation for installations we have returned to host nations. However, since 1989 the Department has only realized monetary compensation from host governments of approximately $339,100,000. This includes monetary payments of $74,100,000 and payment-in-kind compensation of $265,000,000. Meanwhile, the Army alone has returned 550 military installations and 15,100 buildings to host nations.

The Department has reported that the Committee's insistence on monetary payments for residual value is possibly counterproductive. The Department has also indicated that the current requirement by this Committee for notification and review of the Department's progress is considered advantageous to our allies. The Committee, therefore, directs that all future reports shall be provided in a classified form.

The Committee also directs that none of the funds in this appropriation may be used to purchase, construct, or lease any nonquality of life facility in the Federal Republic of Germany, unless that facility is purchased, constructed, modified, or leased with funds provided by the host government.

The Committee directs that the Department shall not enter into any legal instrument to purchase, construct, modify, or lease any nonquality of life facility in the Federal Republic of Germany, until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the appropriate committees of Congress that the United States is scheduled to receive cash payments or offsets-in-kind of a value equal to the projects to be constructed.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION

The Committee recommended $114,124,000 for chemical weapons demilitarization facility construction in fiscal year 1997. This is a decrease of $17,497,000 to the fiscal year 1997 budget request and the House allowance. The Committee finds that phase I of the ammunition demilitarization facility at Pueblo Depot Activity, CO, will not be ready for award in fiscal year 1997. The Committee denies funding for this project.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROJECTS

The Department has requested $88,298,000 for environmental compliance project construction during fiscal year 1997. However, there continues to be no consistency or prioritization of projects among the various accounts.

The Committee directs the Department to devote the maximum amount of resources to actual cleanup and, to the greatest extent possible, to limit resources expended on administration, support, studies, and investigations.

The Committee asks the General Accounting Office to review and make recommendations regarding the potential future require-

ments for improved DOD environmental compliance, the total cost of compliance, and the DOD process for programming compliance projects. This report should be provided no later than March 28, 1997.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FORM 1391

The Committee agrees with the need for information on the real property maintenance backlog at installations and future requirements for unaccompanied housing as was directed in House Report No. 104–591.

The Committee directs the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller/chief financial officer) to amend DOD Directive 7000.14–R. This amendment calls for all DD Form 1391's to contain such information. The Committee directs that this information be provided in one consistent place on the form. This information is needed to facilitate the work of the Committee.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

The Committee has included $2,507,474,000 for the base realignment and closure account. The Committee has fully funded the budget request for the fourth round of base closures recently approved by the Commission on Base Closure and Realignment. This includes full funding of the requirements for environmental cleanup at closing and realigning bases.

The Committee does not approve of the building of general officer quarters in the base realignment and closure accounts. The Committee has redirected funding for this effort to enlisted housing within the same account.

The Committee again asks the General Accounting Office to continue its annual review of the base closure accounts. The Committee requests GAO's review of and recommendations on the validity of DOD's proposed budget requests for base closure activities. In particular, the Committee seeks GAO's assistance in validating the requests for individual construction projects, the operation and maintenance costs, and the environmental cleanup costs associated with base closures and realignments. The Committee believes the annual GAO review will provide the Congress with a better foundation for approving future requests.

Last year the Committee directed that any transfer of funds for construction projects, which deviated from the approved projects for BRAC IV should be treated like any other reprogramming within the Military Construction appropriation. The Committee also directs that any transfer of funds for construction projects, which deviate from the projects currently approved for BRAC II and BRAC III should be treated like any other reprogramming within the military construction appropriation.

LAND ACQUISITIONS

The Committee notes that the Defense Department moratorium on land acquisition, ordered by the Secretary of Defense during the course of base closure deliberations, remains in effect. The Committee believes that the services should continue to be selective in their consideration of further land acquisitions. However, the Com-

mittee recognizes that some purchases could yield significant savings and thus merit consideration.

Accordingly, the Committee affirms that the Secretary's moratorium should not be perceived as a measure which blocks efforts to examine the implications of utilizing land or facilities not currently owned by the Department of Defense, engage in discussions to determine land acquisition costs, or take other reasonable actions that would enable the Secretary of Defense and the Congress to properly assess the desirability of acquiring new properties or facilities.

OTHER ISSUES

Rescissions.—A general reduction of $12,000,000 was included in the request for the fiscal year 1997 "Military construction, Navy" account. The Committee does not approve this rescission. The Committee has approved $22,428,000 in rescissions of prior-year appropriations reflecting military construction for the military services and defense agencies. The rescission recommended in this bill includes the following projects which have contract savings or were previously approved and now are no longer needed:

Military construction:	
Navy 1993–97: Naval Supply Center, Norfolk, VA, cold storage warehouse	$3,000,000
Navy/Marine Corps Intelligence Training Center, Norfolk, VA, applied instruction building addition	6,000,000
Army 1994–98: Kwajalein Atoll: Roi Namur Island, sewage treatment facility	2,028,000
Navy 1994–98: Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, PA, asbestos removal facility	2,300,000
Air Force 1995–99: Spangdahlem Air Base, Germany, upgrade sewage and storm water system	2,100,000
Defense-wide 1996–2000: Arnold, MO, Defense Mapping Agency Aerospace Center	7,000,000
Total	22,428,000

Reports.—The Committee agrees with the need for the reports directed by House Report No. 104–591. The Committee directs the Department to provide a copy of each report requested by the House to the appropriate committees of jurisdiction.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 1996:	
Appropriation	$633,814,000
Rescission	−6,385,000
Net	627,429,000
Budget estimate, 1997	434,723,000
House allowance	603,584,000
Committee recommendation	448,973,000

The Committee is recommending $448,973,000 for the Army for fiscal year 1997. This is an increase of $14,250,000 from the budget request for fiscal year 1997 and $154,611,000 below the House allowance. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

Barracks, Fort Richardson and Fort Wainwright, AK.—Of the $37,323,000 provided for planning and design within the Army account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,700,000 be made available for the design of barracks at Fort Richardson. The Committee also directs that an additional $2,000,000 be made available from the same account for the design of barracks at Fort Wainwright, AK. The Committee fully expects these design contracts to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Fiscal year 1996:	
Appropriation	$554,636,000
Rescission	−6,385,000
Net	548,251,000
Budget estimate, 1997	525,346,000
House allowance	712,476,000
Committee recommendation	642,484,000

The Committee is recommending $642,484,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 1997. This amount is an increase of $117,138,000 from the fiscal year 1997 budget request and $69,992,000 below the House allowance. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard revitalization.—As a result of the base closure process and the reductions in the post-cold-war force structure, the Navy will have only four public shipyards, one of which is located at Pearl Harbor. For too many years, investment in Navy depot facilities has been deferred because of budgetary shortfalls and uncertainty over long-term plans. Therefore, in many cases the remaining shipyards have not seen significant capital improvements in decades. This is certainly the case at Pearl Harbor.

The shipyard at Pearl Harbor is currently being reduced in size to accommodate a smaller workload. At the same time, the Navy is seeking to lower costs and improve productivity. To help achieve

these objectives, the facilities must be improved. The Navy needs to modernize the shipyard as it approaches the 21st century. To that end, the Committee directs the Navy to prepare and budget for a master plan to revitalize the capital plant at Pearl Harbor. This plan should include consolidating capabilities into existing structures, eliminating excess or uneconomical facilities and constructing new structures where required. The Committee expects funding for this plan to begin in fiscal year 1998.

Child development center and mess hall addition, Fallon Naval Air Station, NV.—Of the $9,973,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Military construction, Navy" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,030,000 be made available for the acquisition of a child development center and $1,300,000 be made available for the acquisition of a mess hall addition. Both of these projects are located at Fallon Naval Air Station, NV. The Committee fully expects these contracts to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Sewage and water treatment plant replacements, U.S. Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD.—Of the $53,709,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Navy" account, the Committee directs that not less than $750,000 be made available for the design of sewage and water treatment plant replacements at the U.S. Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Road improvement, Pohakuloa training site, Hawaii.—Of the $37,323,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Army" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,500,000 be made available for the design of a road improvement at Pohakuloa training site, Hawaii. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Fitness center, Fallon Naval Air Station, NV.—Of the $53,709,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Navy" account, the Committee directs that not less than $400,000 be made available for the design of a gymnasium at Fallon Naval Air Station. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 1996:	
Appropriation	$587,234,000
Rescission	– 15,150,000
Net	572,084,000
Budget estimate, 1997	603,059,000
House allowance	678,914,000
Committee recommendation	704,689,000

The Committee recommends $704,689,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 1997. This is an increase of $101,630,000 to the fiscal year 1997 budget request and $25,775,000 above the House allowance. (See State table at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

Aviano AB, Italy.—The Committee finds that specific facilities were approved for NATO funding by the Defense Planning Com-

mittee on February 2, 1996. The consolidated support center at Aviano Air Base, Italy was approved for eligibility. The Committee directs that the Air Force seek funding for this project through the NATO Security Investment Program. The Air Force is also directed to identify all other eligible projects to the Committees on Appropriations by September 26, 1996. This report should include projects which still have unexpended funds remaining in fiscal year 1997 and prior years. The report should also include the percentage of eligibility each project has been assigned and the amount of unexpended funding available.

Fire/crash rescue station, Minot AFB, ND.—Of the $29,797,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than $410,000 be made available for design of a fire/crash rescue station at Minot AFB, ND. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Theater air command control and simulation facility, Kirtland AFB, NM.—Of the $29,797,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,400,000 be made available for the design of a theater air command control and simulation facility at Kirtland AFB, NM. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Base engineering complex, consolidated logistics complex, and physical fitness center, Vance AFB, OK.—Of the $29,797,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,695,000 be made available for the design of a base engineering complex, a consolidated logistics complex, and physical fitness center at Vance AFB, OK. The Committee fully expects these design contracts to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 1996:	
Appropriation	$640,357,000
Rescission	−41,866,000
Net	598,491,000
Budget estimate, 1997	812,945,000
House allowance	772,345,000
Committee recommendation	771,758,000

The Committee recommends $771,758,000 for projects considered within the "Defense-wide" account. The amount recommended is a decrease of $41,187,000 from the fiscal year 1997 budget request and $587,000 below the House allowance. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

Charlotteville, VA.—The Committee recommends $2,400,000 for the acquisition of land for the NGIC facility at Charlotteville, VA. Of the $17,139,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Defense-wide" account, the Committee also directs that not less than $2,000,000 be made available for the design of the NGIC facility at Charlotteville, VA. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Medical facilities, various locations.—Last year, the Committees on Appropriations were concerned that budget submissions by the Defense Medical Facilities Office [DMFO] did not receive an appropriate review by the construction agencies of the individual military services prior to the congressional budget submission. The Committee directed that all future requests for medical facility construction be included in the budget request of each service. The lead agency was to be DMFO for departmentwide medical policy.

The Committee continues to believe that medical facility projects should compete for funding with the other requirements of the services. Therefore, the Committee directs that no fiscal year 1997 funding may be made available for hospital construction until the Committees on Appropriations have been provided with a certification by the Service Secretary of jurisdiction. The certification should concur, if applicable, with the cost and scope of medical projects budgeted by the Defense Medical Facilities Office.

The Committee also finds significant changes to the planning for the Naval Hospital at Portmouth, VA. The Committee directs the General Accounting Office to review the requirements and funding which has been appropriated for the Naval Hospital at Portsmouth, VA. A report should be provided to the Committees on Appropriations not later than September 25, 1996.

Energy Conservation Investment Program [ECIP].—The Committee continues to support the Energy Conservation Investment Program. The Committee understands the need for flexibility within this program. However, the Committee remains concerned that this program lacks the benefits of the planning, programming, and budgeting system. Funding has again been requested without a clear understanding of the specific requirements. Last year, the Committee directed the Department to accelerate its budget process so that specific projects would be identified when the President's fiscal year 1997 request was submitted to Congress.

The intent of this direction was to create specific budget documentation normally required for all military construction projects. The Committee finds that the Department has done the minimal amount necessary to follow this direction. The Committee reduces funding for this account by $5,000,000. Also, the Committee directs that no funds be obligated until the Committees on Appropriations are provided with a 5-year plan for executing the ECIP program. This plan should include the required justification (DD Forms 1390/91's) for all projects expending fiscal year 1997 funds.

Contingency construction.—The Committee has provided $3,000,000 for the "Contingency construction" account. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements. The Committee believes that the funding provided to the account is adequate to meet the needs of the Department.

National Defense University.—The Committee supports the requirement for the National Defense University. However, the requirement for renovation of the university has again not been made clear to the Committee.

Southwest Asia prepositioning.—The Committee understands the requirement for prepositioning in the region. However, the United States has no treaty relationships with our allies in Southwest Asia

and the flow of oil goes to many other countries. The United States should only proceed with prepositioning projects in Southwest Asia under long term bilateral agreements and with full cost sharing arrangements settled in advance of construction. Therefore, the Committee denies funding for land based prepositioning, except for $6,735,000 for munitions storage igloos.

Hydrant fuel systems in Europe.—The Committee agrees with House Report No. 104–591 which directs the submission of precautionary prefinancing statements to NATO for reimbursement of hydrant fuel systems located at Sigonella, Italy and Moron, Spain. The Committee also supports the development of a NATO capabilities package for strategic refueling.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Fiscal year 1996:	
Appropriation	$436,647,000
Rescission	−6,700,000
Net	429,947,000
Budget estimate, 1997	194,091,000
House allowance	294,693,000
Committee recommendation	560,554,000

The Committee recommends $560,554,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is $366,463,000 above the fiscal year 1997 budget request and $265,861,000 above the House allowance. This increase reflects the Committee's continued strong support for the Guard and Reserve.

The Committee would like to single out the Minnesota National Guard and commend them for creating National Guard Training and Community Centers. These facilities are jointly planned and financed by Federal, State, and local government entities. The training and community centers are designed and constructed for the use of the National Guard. Local government entities, however, are encouraged to enhance or add to the basic structure, so that it will also satisfy their needs. The Committee understands that these requirements vary widely depending on the individual community.

Not only do these centers allow for cost sharing and rental opportunities, the soldiers employed there also provide positive role models for the young men and women in the community. The Committee endorses the community concept of facilities and will give favorable consideration to future efforts to create such community centers.

The Committee continues to strongly support our Guard and Reserve forces. The Committee believes that the Guard and Reserve are critical components to the total force and the defense of this country.

Historically, the Department of Defense has failed to adequately fund Guard and Reserve projects, expecting the Congress to add those projects deemed necessary. The Department has repeatedly been made aware of this situation.

The Committee directs that the Army program at least $75,000,000 in the fiscal year 1998 request for the Army National Guard. The Committee directs that no Army funding for overseas classified locations may be expended until the $75,000,000 is requested for the construction of Army National Guard projects in

the fiscal year 1998 President's request. These difficult measures have been taken because for years the congressional direction to adequately fund Army National Guard military construction projects has been ignored.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve component project is reflected in the State list at the end of this report. The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve components as outlined in the following table:

Component	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation
Army National Guard	$7,600,000	$41,316,000	$142,948,000
Air National Guard	75,394,000	118,394,000	224,444,000
Army Reserve	48,459,000	50,159,000	75,474,000
Naval Reserve	10,983,000	33,169,000	49,883,000
Air Force Reserve	51,655,000	51,655,000	67,805,000
Total	194,091,000	294,693,000	560,554,000

The Committee commends the Department of Defense for developing a long-term plan and program for replacement and rehabilitation of National Guard armories. The Committee directs that the National Guard Bureau develop and provide a future years defense plan to the appropriate committees not later than April 20, 1997.

The Committee has added $11,233,000 for specific Reserve component planning and design initiatives listed below. The Department is expected to program the construction funds for these projects.

Hangar, Will Rogers IAP, OK.—The Committee is aware of the need for a properly configured facility to house aviation assets at Will Rogers International Airport. The Committee directs the Director of the Air National Guard to design such a facility. In addition, the Director of the Air National Guard is expected to program construction funds for this project.

Bachelor quarters, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the $32,006,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,495,000 be make available for bachelor quarters at Fort Harrison, MT. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Salem, OR.—Of the $40,915,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $904,000 be made available for the design of an Armed Forces Reserve Center at Salem, OR. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Manchester, NH.—Of the $13,480,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army Reserve" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,700,000 be made available for the design of an Armed Forces Reserve Center at Manchester, NH. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Alaskan Scout Armories, Atmautluak, Manokotak, and New Stuyahok, AK.—Of the $32,006,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Com-

mittee directs that not less than $1,425,000 be made available for armories at Atmautluak, Manokotak, and New Stuyahok, AK. The Committee fully expects these contracts to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Armed Forces Reserve Center and organizational maintenance shop, Eugene, OR.—Of the $40,915,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,144,000 be made available for the design of an Armed Forces Reserve Center and organizational maintenance shop at Eugene, OR. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Combined support maintenance shop, Colchester, VT.—Of the $40,915,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $600,000 be made available for the design of a combined support maintenance shop at Colchester, VT. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Land acquisition, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the $32,006,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $791,000 be made available for the acquisition of land at Fort Harrison, MT. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Army aviation support facility, Hilo, HI.—Of the $40,915,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $500,000 be made available for the design of an Army aviation support facility at Hilo, HI. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Training simulation building, Camp Rilea, OR.—Of the $32,006,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,360,000 be made available for a training simulation building at Camp Rilea, OR. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Regional education facility, Fort Bragg, NC.—Of the $40,915,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,700,000 be made available for the design of a regional education facility at Fort Bragg, NC. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Vehicle maintenance complex and addition/alteration maintenance hangar, Kulis, AK.—Of the $40,915,000 provided for planning and design within the "Air National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,135,000 be made available for the design of a vehicle maintenance complex and addition/alteration of a maintenance hangar at Kulis, AK. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

The Dalles and Ontario Armories, OR.—Of the $40,915,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $436,000 be

made available for the design of armories at The Dalles and Ontario, OR. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Helena, MT.—Of the $40,915,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,450,000 be made available for the design of an Armed Forces Reserve Center at Helena, MT. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Training complex, Camp Dawson, WV.—Of the $40,915,000 provided for planning and design within the "Army National Guard" account, the Committee directs that not less than $2,100,000 be made available for the design of a training complex at Camp Dawson, WV. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Planning and design.—The Committee recommendation provides an additional $87,815,000 for planning and design activities for the Reserve components. The Army continues to ignore the future needs of the Reserve components. In anticipation of increased congressional support in the appropriations process, the Army has continued to underfund Reserve component planning and design funding. Again, this direction has persisted over the years despite the requests of this Committee to do otherwise.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Fiscal year 1996:	
Appropriation	$161,000,000
Rescission	+37,500,000
Net	198,500,000
Budget estimate, 1997	197,000,000
House allowance	177,000,000
Committee recommendation	172,000,000

The Committee has provided $172,000,000 for North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program for fiscal year 1997. This amount is $25,000,000 below the President's fiscal year 1997 budget request and $5,000,000 below the House allowance.

The Committee continues to support the NATO Security Investment Program. The Committee recognizes valid requirements remain after the drawdown. The Committee, however, is concerned that the Department has not been successful in its attempts to have member nations participate in defraying construction program costs. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to further moderate the construction program overseas and seek expanded contributions from our allies in Europe. These member nation funds should be provided to the NATO Security Investment Program. The amount should not only allow for the continuation of existing work, but also allow for emergency projects and new projects.

Last year, the Committee directed the Department to work with our allies to create a new program and budgeting process that would allow for a specific review of projects before the funding is approved by the Congress. The Committee has not seen any action taken by the Department to follow this direction.

The Congressional Budget Office [CBO] has indicated that over the next 14 years it will cost $60,600,000,000 to fully integrate Poland, Hungary, the Czech Republic, and Slovakia into NATO. CBO has also indicated that preparing bases to handle NATO air forces and troops, prepositioning material, or permanently basing a limited number of NATO forces in the area could cost as much as $64,000,000,000. This additional $124,600,000,000 is not affordable in this budgetary climate. The Committee directs that the Secretary of Defense report to the four appropriate committees by May 1, 1997, on how NATO intends to restructure its organization to incorporate new and anticipated infrastructure requirements.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

The Committee has provided $4,046,805,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, the Department's new family housing improvements fund, and the homeowners assistance program. This amount is $189,134,000 above the fiscal year 1997 budget request and $229,018,000 under the House allowance.

Quality of life.—The Committee continues to support the Department's efforts to upgrade the living standards for families. The average age of family housing is 33 years. This is the youngest part of the DOD infrastructure inventory. However, such factors as the level of maintenance and repair, usage, and climate have accelerated the rate of deterioration for many of the housing units. These factors have compounded the challenges already facing the services. The current new construction deficit for the Department is 48,428 units. To address this deficit, the Department has requested $20,000,000 for the private sector initiative proposed by the Secretary of Defense. Again, the Committee is not clear as to how the $20,000,000 will be used in this new program. The Committee directs the Department to identify specific projects that will be funded from this request prior to execution. The Committee directs the Department to notify the four appropriate committees regarding the identity and amount requested for each project at least 30 days prior to award of any contract.

Reprogramming criteria.—The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or $2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements over $2,000,000. To provide the individual services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in the budget year, as well as projects authorized in prior years for which construction contracts have not been completed.

General and flag quarters.—The Committee agrees with House report language concerning general and flag officers quarters. The Committee further concurs with House report language concerning all reporting requirements and notifications for general and flag officer quarters.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Fiscal year 1996	$1,452,252,000
Budget estimate, 1997	1,287,479,000
House allowance	1,434,069,000
Committee recommendation	1,401,785,000

The Committee recommends a total of $1,401,785,000 for family housing, Army, in fiscal year 1997. This is $114,306,000 over the fiscal year 1997 budget request and $32,284,000 under the House allowance. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 1996	$1,573 387,000
Budget estimate, 1997	1,417,967,000
House allowance	1,590,697,000
Committee recommendation	1,432,567,000

The Committee recommends $1,432,567,000 for family housing, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 1997. This amount is $14,600,000 over the fiscal year 1997 budget request and $158,130,000 under the House allowance. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

Detached housing offices.—The Committee's concern for adequate housing again takes precedent over the construction of new housing offices. These offices are used infrequently as compared to the use of needed family housing. To further this effort, the Committee redirects $1,890,000 for the construction of detached housing offices. These funds will be applied to family housing construction projects.

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 1996	$1,146,951,000
Budget estimate, 1997	1,060,710,000
House allowance	1,144,542,000
Committee recommendation	1,120,938,000

The Committee recommends $1,120,938,000 for family housing, Air Force, in fiscal year 1997, which is $60,228,000 over the budget request, and $23,604,000 under the House allowance. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

General officer quarters.—The Committee continues to strongly support the need to provide adequate housing for the enlisted members of the Air Force. This concern takes precedent over housing for more senior personnel. Also, funding for general officer quarters creates new burdens on the "Operation and maintenance" accounts. To further these efforts, the Committee redirects $249,000 for the construction of general officer quarters. These funds will be applied to barracks construction projects.

Elmendorf AFB, AK.—Of the $12,350,000 provided for planning within the "Family housing, Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than $1,200,000 be made available for the design of family housing at Elmendorf AFB, AK. The Committee fully expects this design contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Family housing revitalization, Nellis AFB, NV.—Of the $12,350,000 provided for planning and design within the "Family

housing, Air Force" account, the Committee directs that not less than $150,000 be made available for the design of the revitalization of family housing at Nellis AFB, NV. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1997 as practical.

Detached housing offices.—The Committee's concern for adequate housing again takes precedent over the construction of new housing offices. These offices are used infrequently as compared to the use of needed family housing. To further this effort, the Committee redirects $1,271,000 for the construction of detached housing offices. These funds will be applied to family housing construction projects.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 1996	$34,239,000
Budget estimate, 1997	35,334,000
House allowance	35,334,000
Committee recommendation	35,334,000

The Committee recommends $35,334,000 for family housing, defense agencies, in fiscal year 1997. This amount is equal to the budget request and the House allowance. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fiscal year 1996	$22,000,000
Budget estimate, 1997	20,000,000
House allowance	35,000,000
Committee recommendation	20,000,000

The Committee recommends $20,000,000 for family housing, improvement fund, in fiscal year 1997. This amount is the same as the budget request and $15,000,000 under the House allowance.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND

Fiscal year 1996	$75,586,000
Budget estimate, 1997	36,181,000
House allowance	36,181,000
Committee recommendation	36,181,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $36,181,000 for the homeowners assistance fund [HAP]. This amount is equal to the budget request and the House allowance. Anticipated expenditures for fiscal year 1997 are not expected to be covered by available prior-year funds and proceeds from the resale of homes. This program benefits military personnel and Federal civilian employee homeowners in locations where the housing market becomes depressed due to an announcement of a base closure or realignment of a military installation. The HAP provides partial compensation to homeowners for their financial losses incurred in the sale of their homes.

The Committee believes that the true cost of the base closure process is understated since the HAP program is funded from a separate account. The Committee directs that of the amount requested for HAP in the fiscal year 1998 budget justification, all BRAC related funds shall be identified separately within the HAP account.

The Committee is concerned that more service people need the advantages of HAP. The Committee directs that the Assistant Secretary from each service responsible for installations provide a report no later than April 20, 1997, identifying the obligations and expenditures by service that were provided by this account on a monthly basis for the past 2 years.

The Committee is aware of a number of installations where HAP is needed yet no program exists. The Committee directs the Department to report on its criteria for determining when an installation requires HAP and review installations currently being monitored for the program. The report should be provided by the Assistant Secretary no later than September 25, 1996.

Base Closure Overview

The Committee has approved $2,507,474,000 for base closure and realignment activities during fiscal year 1997. This amount is $1,390,418,000 under the amount appropriated by Congress for fiscal year 1996. A discussion of these activities is included at the beginning of the report under the heading "Base Realignment and Closure."

The Committee recommends providing full funding for these important projects. To this end, the Committee provides the following listing of the specific projects requested by the Department and appropriated by the Committee for the BRAC accounts. The Committee provides specific approval and appropriated funds for the following construction projects:

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

[Fiscal year 1997 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1997 BRAC military construction projects]
[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Committee recommendation
Army BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997:		
Texas: Fort Bliss: Unmanned aerial vehicle hangar (46592)	III	$4,700
Army BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997:		
Alabama: Anniston Army Depot: EOD operations facility (34665)	IV	1,700
Arizona:		
Fort Huachuca:		
Building 61801 renovation (46212)	IV	400
Warehouse (46235)	IV	800
Subtotal, Army, Arizona	.....	1,200
District of Columbia: Walter Reed Army Medical Center: Nurse training facility (46342)	IV	1,500
Maryland:		
Fort Detrick:		
Administrative facility (46197)	IV	6,800
General purpose storage (46204)	IV	1,150
Subtotal, Army, Maryland	.....	7,950

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Fiscal year 1997 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1997 BRAC military construction projects]
[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Committee recommendation
Missouri:		
Fort Leonard Wood:		
Chemical defense training facility (45893)	IV	28,000
General instruction facility (46090)	IV	58,000
Applied instruction facility (46091)	IV	32,000
Unaccompanied enlisted housing (46092)	IV	58,430
Subtotal, Army, Missouri	.....	176,430
New Jersey: Fort Monmouth: Administrative facility (45981)	IV	2,200
New York: Fort Totten: Storage facility (46258)	IV	1,900
Oklahoma:		
McAlester Army Ammunition Plant:		
Universal functional test range (45911)	IV	1,950
General and applied instruction facility (45956)	IV	6,100
Administrative facility (45955)	IV	14,200
Subtotal, Army, Oklahoma	.....	22,250
South Carolina: Fort Jackson: DOD Polygraph Institute (45839)	IV	4,600
Virginia: Fort Belvoir: Administrative facility (45858)	IV	7,500
Washington: Fort Lewis: Center for Health Promotion (46056)	IV	3,050
Various locations: Planning and design	IV	9,790
Total for Army BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997	.....	240,070
Navy BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
Fleet ASW Training Center, San Diego: Gymnasium (387T)	III	3,400
Marine Corps Air Station, Camp Pendleton: Warehouse and special storage facilities (029T)	III	6,080
Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar:		
Storage facilities (007T)	III	9,820
Tactical van pad facility (012T)	III	15,500
Bachelor enlisted quarters (013T)	III	59,883
Naval Air Station, Lemoore: Administrative office (186T)	III	1,500
Subtotal, Navy, California	.....	96,183
District of Columbia:		
Commandant Naval District, Washington: Headquarters building renovation (001T)	III	2,000
Strategic Systems Programs Office, Washington: Building renovation (001T)	III	14,580
Subtotal, Navy, District of Columbia	.....	16,580
Florida:		
Army Reserve Center, Orlando: Facility modifications (001T)	III	2,683

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Fiscal year 1997 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1997 BRAC military construction projects]
[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Committee recommendation
Naval Air Station, Jacksonville: Aviation physiology training (831T)	III	2,270
Subtotal, Navy, Florida		4,953
Georgia: Naval Air Station, Atlanta: Marine Reserve training facility (906T)	III	9,100
Hawaii:		
Marine Corps Air Station, Kaneohe Bay:		
Aircraft parking apron (268T)	III	14,562
Maintenance hangar alterations (270T)	III	31,400
Building renovations (271T)	III	2,500
Building additions and renovations (272T)	III	1,300
Aviation supply facilities (274T)	III	2,700
Training facility (276T)	III	8,600
Bachelor quarters (286T)	III	26,900
Helicopter landing pad (287T)	III	400
Hazardous storehouse and waste transfer facility (288T)	III	5,100
Ordnance facilities (297T)	III	1,400
Tactical support facility (297T)	III	10,500
Utilities upgrade (504T)	III	5,100
Ordnance facilities (508T)	III	2,100
Subtotal, Navy, Hawaii		112,562
Nevada: Naval Air Station, Fallon: Bachelor enlisted quarters (phase II) (308T)	III	9,830
South Carolina: Marine Corps Air Station, Beaufort: Hangar renovation (396T)	III	1,900
Tennessee: Naval Air Station, Memphis:		
Building alterations (326T)	III	17,510
Building alterations (325T)	III	7,100
Subtotal, Navy, Tennessee		24,610
Texas: Naval Air Station, Fort Worth: Child development center (121T)	III	2,010
Virginia:		
Naval Station, Norfolk: Administrative facility (360T)	III	1,000
Naval Air Station, Oceana: Engine maintenance shop addition (457T)	III	480
Subtotal, Navy, Virginia		1,480
Washington: Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island:		
Ground support equipment shop (600T)	III	2,700
Sonobuoy storage facility (615T)	III	600
Subtotal, Navy, Washington		3,300
Midway Island: Naval air facility: Demolition (402T)	III	3,000
Total for Navy BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997		285,508

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Fiscal year 1997 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1997 BRAC military construction projects]
[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Committee recommendation
Navy BRAC III family housing, fiscal year 1997:		
Florida: Naval Air Station, Pensacola: Family housing (406T)	III	9,845
Washington: Naval Submarine Base, Bangor:		
Family housing (404T)	III	4,672
Family housing (405T)	III	6,454
Subtotal, Navy, Washington	.....	11,126
Total for Navy BRAC III family housing, fiscal year 1997	.....	20,971
Navy BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
Naval Air Station, North Island: Maintenance training facility (829U)	IV	3,780
Naval Aviation Depot, North Island:		
Engineering support office modifications (832U)	IV	844
Engineering support offices (830U)	IV	721
Naval Weapon Station, Concord: Secure warehouse (999U)	IV	15,400
Subtotal, Navy, California	.....	20,745
District of Columbia: Commandant, Naval District Washington:		
Parking garage (104U)	IV	8,900
Logistics support facility (101U)	IV	2,400
Public works facility (102U)	IV	1,900
Subtotal, Navy, District of Columbia	.....	13,200
Florida: Naval Explosive Diving Unit, Panama City: Manned diving physiology (366U)	IV	1,870
Maryland: Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock:		
Materials processing facility (181U)	IV	1,450
Magnetic fields facility (182U)	IV	6,400
Subtotal, Navy, Maryland	.....	7,850
Pennsylvania: Naval Surface Warfare Center, Philadelphia: Advance machine R&D facility (184U)	IV	5,400
South Carolina: Naval Weapon Station, Charleston: Medical/dental clinic expansion (019U)	IV	3,464
Tennessee: Naval Air Station, Memphis: Building modifications (328U)	IV	4,744
Virginia: Naval Air Station, Oceana:		
Flight simulator building addition (160U)	IV	9,044
Corrosion control hangar (576U)	IV	4,800
F/A 18 aviation maintenance additions (164U)	IV	2,700
Renovate/addition training facility (161U)	IV	5,700
Subtotal, Navy, Virginia	.....	22,244

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Fiscal year 1997 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1997 BRAC military construction projects]
[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Committee recommendation
Washington: Naval Shipyard, Puget Sound: Ship maintenance facilities (334U)	IV	1,840
Various locations: Planning and design	.....	9,700
Total for Navy BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997	.....	91,057
Air Force BRAC II construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
Beale AFB:		
Add/alter civil engineering facilities (BAEY950204R1)	II	900
Add/alter operations facility (BAEY950200R1)	II	460
Alter logistics facilities (BAEY950201R1)	II	520
Add/alter support facility (BAEY939108)	II	300
Vandenberg AFB: Campus utilities (XUMU963007)	II	2,900
Subtotal, Air Force, California	.....	5,080
Colorado: Buckley Air National Guard Base: Enlisted dormitory (CRWU953050)	II	8,150
Indiana: Grissom ARB: Munitions storage (CTGC959019)	II	1,500
Mississippi: Keesler AFB: Physical fitness center (MAHG913034)	II	690
Ohio:		
Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base:		
Alter base maintenance shops (NLZG939686)	II	1,950
Alter support shops (NLZG939687)	II	2,000
Alter fuel system maintenance dock (NLZG939700)	II	1,200
Jet fuel storage/distribution (NLZG939729)	II	9,000
Wright-Patterson AFB: National Airborne Operations Center complex (ZHTV943204)	II	5,100
Subtotal, Air Force, Ohio	.....	19,250
Texas:		
Lackland AFB:		
Add/alter physical fitness center (MPLS913337)	II	1,600
Alter technical training facility (MPYJ953260)	II	2,250
Sheppard AFB: Add to chapel (VNVP933025)	II	700
Subtotal, Air Force, Texas	.....	4,550
Various locations: Planning and design (BCL97RD4)	.....	580
Total for Air Force BRAC II construction, fiscal year 1997	.....	39,800
Air Force BRAC II family housing, fiscal year 1997:		
Oklahoma: Altus AFB: Family housing (AGGN954015)	II	22,973
Air Force BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
March AFB: Alter combat camera (PCZP960606)	III	1,200
Travis AFB: Upgrade roads (XDAT953320)	III	2,400

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Fiscal year 1997 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1997 BRAC military construction projects]
[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Committee recommendation
Subtotal, Air Force, California	.....	3,600
Idaho: Mountain Home AFB: Air control squad complex (QYZH973020)	III	3,500
New Jersey: McGuire AFB:		
Public health facility (PTFL943174)	III	4,000
Upgrade roads (PTFL943167)	III	3,000
Subtotal, Air Force, New Jersey	.....	7,000
New York: Griffiss AFB:		
Alter support facilities (JREZ940056)	III	750
Alter consolidated logistics facility (JREZ940055)	III	2,550
Subtotal, Air Force, New York	.....	3,300
Total for Air Force BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997	.....	17,400
Air Force BRAC III family housing, fiscal year 1997:		
New Jersey: McGuire AFB: Improve family housing (PTFL954000X)	III	15,884
Total for Air Force BRAC III family housing, fiscal year 1997	.....	15,884
Air Force BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
Edwards AFB: Add/alter Avionics Research Laboratory (FSPM973506)	IV	890
March AFB: Add/alter Communication/Electronic Training Complex (PCZP959603)	IV	640
Subtotal, Air Force, California	.....	1,530
Florida:		
MacDill AFB:		
Add/alter fuel maintenance facility (NVZR973722)	IV	2,900
Alter squadron operations facility (NVZR973718)	IV	2,500
Alter corrosion control (NVZR973721)	IV	5,000
Alter maintenance facilities (NVZR973723)	IV	800
Patrick AFB:		
Pararescue training facility (SXHT959002)	IV	2,650
Maintenance facilities (SXHT959011)	IV	500
Add/alter corrosion control facility (SXHT959004)	IV	2,750
Subtotal, Air Force, Florida	.....	17,100
Mississippi: Columbus AFB: T–37 aircraft maintenance hangar (EEPZ973006)	IV	1,100
New York: Fort Drum: Runway/apron/instrument landing system (WOXG959609)	IV	46,000
Texas:		
Carswell Naval Air Station/Fort Worth Joint Reserve Base:		
Numbered Air Force headquarters (DDPF959004)	IV	4,300
Security police training facility (DDPF959006)	IV	720

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued

[Fiscal year 1997 budget estimate, base realignment and closure, fiscal year 1997 BRAC military construction projects]
[Dollars in thousands]

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Committee recommendation
Laughlin AFB: Add to child development center (MXDP973003R1)	IV	350
Subtotal, Air Force, Texas	.....	5,370
Various locations: Planning and design (BCL97RD4)	.....	5,543
Total for Air Force BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997	.....	76,643
Defense Logistics Agency BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California: Defense Contract Management District West, El Segundo: Administrative building	III	5,200
Pennsylvania: Aviation Supply Office, Philadelphia: Convert facilities for Defense Personnel Support Center	III	31,950
Various locations: Planning and design	.....	500
Total for DLA BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997	.....	37,650
Defense Logistics Agency BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California: Defense Distribution Region West, Tracy: Hazardous material storage addition to warehouse 28	IV	9,300
Total for DLA BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997	.....	9,300

Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I

Again, the Committee recognizes that fiscal year 1995 was the last year for appropriations into this account.

Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part II

Fiscal year 1996	$964,843,000
Budget estimate, 1997	352,800,000
House allowance	352,800,000
Committee recommendation	352,800,000

The Committee recommends $352,800,000 for the "Base realignment and closure" account, part II as authorized and provided by the House allowance.

Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part III

Fiscal year 1996	$2,148,480,000
Budget estimate, 1997	971,925,000
House allowance	971,925,000
Committee recommendation	971,925,000

The Committee recommends $971,925,000 for the "Base realignment and closure" account, part III as authorized and provided by the House allowance.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV

Fiscal year 1996	$784,569,000
Budget estimate, 1997	1,182,749,000
House allowance	1,182,749,000
Committee recommendation	1,182,749,000

The Committee recommends $1,182,749,000 for the "Base realignment and closure" account, part IV as authorized and provided by the House allowance.

General officer quarters.—The Committee continues to strongly support the need to provide adequate housing for the enlisted members of the Army. This concern takes precedent over housing for more senior personnel. Also, funding for general officer quarters creates new burdens on the "Operation and maintenance" accounts. To further these efforts, the Committee redirects $430,000 for the construction of general officer quarters. These funds will be applied to unaccompanied enlisted housing at Fort Leonard Wood, MO.

MacDill AFB, FL.—The Committee was informed by the Air Force on March 9, 1995, that BRAC requirements of $8,680,000 existed at MacDill AFB, FL. The fiscal year 1997 request now includes funding for fuel maintenance, and corrosion control facilities, but at significantly larger amounts. The request also includes new requirements for the alteration of a squadron operations facility and additional maintenance facilities. The Committee directs the Chief of Staff of the Air Force to provide a comprehensive 5-year plan for BRAC implementation at MacDill AFB, FL.

The Committee expects this report to be delivered not later than September 15, 1996.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Committee has made no changes to sections 101–120 and 123 as recommended by the House. Other changes are as follows:

Sections 121–122. These sections have been deleted by the Committee.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROVISIONS

The Committee has added two general provisions to the House-passed bill as follows:

Section 121 directs that the National Guard Bureau prepare a future years defense plan and submit it as part of the fiscal year 1998 request.

Section 122 directs that no funds from the base realignment and closure account shall be used to pay for fines resulting from violations of any law pertaining to the environment.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill "which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session."

All projects for which appropriations are provided by the Committee are unauthorized. These projects are subject to authorization. The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 has not passed the Senate.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(C), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, the Committee en bloc ordered reported the 602(b) allocation, granted authority for revisions to allocations as necessary, and ordered reported S. 1894, an original DOD appropriations bill and H.R. 3517, the military construction appropriations bill, 1997, subject to their budget allocations, by a recorded vote of 24–3, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Yeas	Nays
Chairman Hatfield	Mr. Leahy
Mr. Stevens	Mr. Lautenberg
Mr. Cochran	Mr. Harkin
Mr. Specter	
Mr. Domenici	
Mr. Bond	

Mr. Gorton
Mr. McConnell
Mr. Mack
Mr. Burns
Mr. Shelby
Mr. Jeffords
Mr. Gregg
Mr. Bennett
Mr. Campbell
Mr. Byrd
Mr. Inouye
Mr. Hollings
Mr. Johnston
Ms. Mikulski
Mr. Reid
Mr. Kerrey
Mr. Kohl
Mrs. Murray

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include "(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee."

In compliance with this rule, changes in existing law proposed to be made by the bill are shown as follows: existing law to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets; new matter is printed in italic; and existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman.

No change in existing statutes has been proposed.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
ALABAMA					
ARMY: FORT RUCKER: AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWERS	.....	.....	3,250	+3,250	+3,250
AIR FORCE: MAXWELL AFB: OTS ACADEMIC FACILITY	7,875	7,875	7,875	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE: AMBULATORY HEALTH CARE CENTER (PHASE II)	25,000	25,000	25,000	.....	.....
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY: MSIC FACILITY	.....	.....	29,500	+29,500	+29,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BIRMINGHAM: JOINT MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	.....	4,600	4,600	+4,600	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: MONTGOMERY: MUNITIONS COMPLEX AND EQUIPMENT SHOP	.....	.....	4,500	+4,500	+4,500
TOTAL, ALABAMA	32,875	37,475	74,725	+41,850	+37,250
ALASKA					
AIR FORCE:					
EIELSON AFB: CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS SHOP	.....	.....	3,900	+3,900	+3,900
ELMENDORF AFB:					
HANGAR/SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE	19,435	19,435	19,435	.....	.....
UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM	2,095	2,095	2,095	.....	.....
KING SALMON: AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS REPAIR	.....	.....	5,700	+5,700	+5,700
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
DFSC ELMENDORF AFB:					
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	18,000	18,000	18,000	.....	.....
FUEL FARM (PHASE I)	.....	.....	3,000	+3,000	+3,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FAIRBANKS: READINESS CENTER EXPANSION	.....	.....	4,955	+4,955	+4,955
TOTAL, ALASKA	39,530	39,530	57,085	+17,555	+17,555
ARIZONA					
ARMY: FORT HUACHUCA: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	21,000	.....	.....	−21,000

NAVY:					
CAMP NAVAJO NAVY DETACHMENT: MAGAZINE MODIFICATIONS (PHASE I)	3,920	3,920	3,920	.....	.....
YUMA MCAS: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	14,600	.....	.....	−14,600
AIR FORCE:					
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB:					
CONSOLIDATED MATERIAL PROCESSING FACILITY	5,590	5,590	5,590	.....	.....
EC–130 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	4,330	4,330	4,330	.....	.....
LUKE AFB: DORMITORY	.....	6,700	.....	.....	−6,700
TOTAL, ARIZONA	13,840	56,140	13,840	.....	−42,300
ARKANSAS					
AIR FORCE:					
LITTLE ROCK AFB:					
ADD ALTER FIELD TRAINING FACILITY	1,525	1,525	1,525	.....	.....
C–130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE	14,045	14,045	14,045	.....	.....
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	2,535	2,535	2,535	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: PINE BLUFF ARSENAL: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)	46,000	46,000	46,000	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:					
BENTON: ARMORY	.....	.....	1,805	+1,805	+1,805
CAMP ROBINSON: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	.....	.....	14,081	+14,081	+14,081
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	64,105	64,105	79,991	+15,886	+15,886
CALIFORNIA					
ARMY:					
BARSTOW-DAGGETT: HELIPORT	.....	7,000	.....	.....	−7,000
CAMP ROBERTS: ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	5,500	5,500	5,500	.....	.....
CONCORD NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: AMMUNITION PIER (DBOF)	27,000	27,000	27,000	.....	.....
NAVY:					
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:					
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON AND TAXIWAY	2,600	2,600	2,600	.....	.....
RUNWAY IMPROVEMENTS	1,390	1,390	1,390	.....	.....
TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE	2,250	2,250	2,250	.....	.....
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE:					
AUTOMATED FIELD FIRING RANGE	4,020	4,020	4,020	.....	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	10,100	10,100	10,100	.....	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	11,800	11,800	11,800	.....	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	12,500	12,500	12,500	.....	.....
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	4,150	4,150	4,150	.....	.....
TACTICAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,060	9,060	9,060	.....	.....
NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION:					
DREDGING	59,502	59,502	49,872	−9,630	−9,630
SHIP MAINTENANCE FACILITY	27,000	27,000	27,000	.....	.....
PORT HUENEME NCBC: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	7,700	.....	.....	−7,700
SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND NAVAL FACILITY: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS AND MESSHALL	17,000	17,000	17,000	.....	.....
SAN DIEGO MARINE CORPS DEPOT: COMBAT TRAINING TANK	.....	.....	8,150	+8,150	+8,150
SAN DIEGO NAVAL STATION: OILY WASTE COLLECTION FACILITY	7,050	7,050	7,050	.....	.....
SAN DIEGO NAV CMD CTRL AND OCEAN SUR CEN RDTE DIV: PIER (SAN CLEMENTE)	1,960	1,960	1,960	.....	.....
TWENTYNINE PALMS MARCORP AIR-GRND COMB CTR: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,020	4,020	4,020	.....	.....
AIR FORCE:					
BEALE AFB:					
CARS DEPLOYABLE GROUND STATION SUPPORT FACILITY	7,690	7,690	7,690	.....	.....
LANDFILL CLOSURE	6,735	6,735	6,735	.....	.....
EDWARDS AFB:					
ADD/ALTER ANECHOIC CHAMBER	4,890	4,890	4,890	.....	.....
CONVERT BOILERS	3,120	3,120	3,120	.....	.....
F–22 ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	4,390	4,390	4,390	.....	.....
RENOVATE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	7,680	7,680	7,680	.....	.....
MCCLELLAN AFB: FLOOD CONTROL MEASURES	8,795	.....	.....	−8,795	.....
TRAVIS AFB:					
DORMITORY	7,980	7,980	7,980	.....	.....
DORMITORY	.....	8,250	7,000	+7,000	−1,250
VANDENBERG AFB: SATELLITE PROCESSING FACILITY	3,290	3,290	3,290	.....	.....

DEFENSE-WIDE:					
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE: BRANCH MEDICAL CLINIC (EDSON RANGE)	3,300	3,300	3,300	.....	.....
DEF DISTRIBUTION (SAN DIEGO) (DDDC): REPLACE GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE (DBOF)	15,700	15,700	15,700	.....	.....
DFSC EL CENTRO NAF: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (DBOF)	5,700	5,700	5,700	.....	.....
DFSC TRAVIS AFB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (DBOF)	15,200	15,200	15,200	.....	.....
NAVAL AIR STATION LEMOORE: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT	38,000	38,000	38,000	.....	.....
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE, CORONADO: SOF-OPERATIONS AND LOGISTICS SUPPORT FACILITY	7,700	7,700	7,700	.....	.....
NORTON AFB (DFAS): RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMINISTRATIVE USE	13,800	13,800	13,800	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: LOS ALAMITOS: JP–8 FUEL FACILITY	.....	.....	1,092	+1,092	+1,092
NAVAL RESERVE: PASADENA: MARINE CORPS RESERVE FACILITY	.....	6,100	.....	.....	−6,100
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	360,872	381,127	358,689	−2,183	−22,438
COLORADO					
ARMY:					
FORT CARSON:					
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	.....	4,550	.....	.....	−4,550
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL (PHASE II)	13,000	13,000	13,000	.....	.....
AIR FORCE:					
BUCKLEY ANG BASE:					
BASE SUPPLY AND EQUIPMENT WAREHOUSE	3,500	3,500	3,500	.....	.....
SPACE-BASED INFRARED SYS OPS FACILITY	14,460	14,460	14,460	.....	.....
FALCON AFS: ALTER DINING FACILITY/SAFETY UPGRADE	2,095	2,095	2,095	.....	.....
PETERSON AFB:					
DORMITORY	8,350	8,350	8,350	.....	.....
MISSION SUPPORT FACILITY	12,370	12,370	12,370	.....	.....
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY:					
FAMILY SUPPORT CENTER	.....	2,100	2,100	+2,100	.....
UPGRADE ACADEMIC FACILITY	10,065	10,065	10,065	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: PUEBLO DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)	17,497	17,497	.....	−17,497	−17,497
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BUCKLEY ANGB: INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS	.....	4,450	.....	.....	−4,450
AIR FORCE RESERVE: PETERSON AFB: COMPOSITE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,200	3,200	3,200	.....	.....
TOTAL, COLORADO	84,537	95,637	69,140	−15,397	−26,497

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
CONNECTICUT					
NAVY:					
NEW LONDON NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE:					
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	10,600	10,600	10,600	.....	.....
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL WAREHOUSE	3,230	3,230	3,230	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:					
GROTON: AVIATION CLASSIFICATION REPAIR ACTIVITY DEPOT	.....	.....	5,647	+5,647	+5,647
WINDSOR LOCKS: FIRE SUPPRESSION	.....	3,045	3,045	+3,045	.....
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	13,830	16,875	22,522	+8,692	+5,647
DELAWARE					
AIR FORCE:					
DOVER AFB:					
C–5 AERIAL DELIVERY FACILITY	7,980	7,980	7,980	.....	.....
VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	.....	.....	12,000	+12,000	+12,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT (WILMINGTON):					
FIRE STATION, AGE, AND AGU COMPLEX	.....	2,800	.....	.....	−2,800
OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	.....	.....	9,300	+9,300	+9,300
TOTAL, DELAWARE	7,980	10,780	29,280	+21,300	+18,500
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
ARMY: FORT LESLEY J MCNAIR: NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY FACILITY (PHASE II)	6,900	6,900	.....	−6,900	−6,900
NAVY: WASHINGTON COMMANDANT NAVAL DISTRICT: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS COMPLEX	19,300	19,300	19,300	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: BOLLING AFB: RECONFIGURATION DIAC	6,790	6,790	.....	−6,790	−6,790

NAVAL RESERVE:					
NAVAL AIR FACILITY WASHINGTON (ANDREWS AFB):					
ADDITION TO HANGAR 12	640	640	640	.....	.....
TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION	1,465	1,465	1,465	.....	.....
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	35,095	35,095	21,405	−13,690	−13,690
FLORIDA					
NAVY:					
KEY WEST NAVAL AIR STATION: FITNESS CENTER	2,250	2,250	2,250	.....	.....
MAYPORT NS: WHARF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT	.....	2,800	.....	.....	−2,800
AIR FORCE:					
EGLIN AFB: UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	4,590	4,590	4,590	.....	.....
EGLIN AFB AUXILIARY FIELD 9: TRANSIENT PERSONNEL QUARTERS	6,825	6,825	6,825	.....	.....
PATRICK AFB:					
AIR FREIGHT/PASSENGER TERMINAL FACILITY	.....	.....	7,900	+7,900	+7,900
CONTROL TOWER	2,595	2,595	2,595	.....	.....
TYNDALL AFB: AIRCRAFT EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SHOP	.....	3,600	3,600	+3,600	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
KEY WEST NAVAL AIR STATION: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	13,600	13,600	13,600	.....	.....
MACDILL AFB: ADD TO USSOCOM COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	.....	9,600	.....	.....	−9,600
ORLANDO NTC (DFAS): RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)	2,600	2,600	2,600	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: MACDILL AFB: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	.....	4,248	.....	.....	−4,248
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
JACKSONVILLE IAP:					
MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	.....	.....	1,100	+1,100	+1,100
UPGRADE HEATING PLANTS AND CHILLERS	680	680	680	.....	.....
NAVAL RESERVE: NAV RES READINESS CDM (JACKSONVILLE): RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	770	770	770	.....	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE: HOMESTEAD AFB: FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,300	1,300	1,300	.....	.....
TOTAL, FLORIDA	35,210	55,458	47,810	+12,600	−7,648
GEORGIA					
ARMY:					
FORT BENNING:					
RAIL LOADING FACILITY	9,400	9,400	9,400	.....	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	44,000	44,000	44,000	.....	.....
FORT GILLEM: MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING FACILITY	.....	.....	3,500	+3,500	+3,500
FORT MCPHERSON: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	.....	9,100	.....	.....	−9,100
FT STEWART/HUNTER AAF: CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINING BUILDING	6,000	6,000	6,000	.....	.....
NAVY:					
ALBANY MCLB: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	.....	1,630	.....	.....	−1,630
KINGS BAY NSB: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADDITION	.....	1,550	.....	.....	−1,550
AIR FORCE:					
MOODY AFB: C–130 PARKING RAMP EXPANSION	.....	.....	3,350	+3,350	+3,350
ROBINS AFB:					
JSTARS ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	1,645	1,645	1,645	.....	.....
JSTARS ADD/ALTER APRON/HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	6,585	6,585	6,585	.....	.....
JSTARS SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE	8,270	8,270	8,270	.....	.....
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,145	2,145	2,145	.....	.....
UPGRADE DORMITORY	.....	4,000	6,400	+6,400	+2,400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
ROBINS AFB:					
B–1 AGE AND MUNITIONS TRAILER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	2,800	2,800	2,800	.....	.....
B–1 AIRCRAFT PARK APRON AND RELOCATE TAXIWAY	8,800	8,800	8,800	.....	.....
B–1 COMPOSITE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	12,400	12,400	12,400	.....	.....
B–1 OPERATIONS AND TRAINING BUILDING	.....	.....	5,000	+5,000	+5,000
B–1 SITE IMPROVEMENTS ROADS AND UTILITIES	5,500	5,500	5,500	.....	.....
NAVAL RESERVE: ATLANTA NAS: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	.....	3,250	+3,250	+3,250
AIR FORCE RESERVE:					
DOBBINS AFB:					
ADD/ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	1,137	1,137	1,137	.....	.....
UPGRADE STORM WATER SYSTEM	.....	.....	1,150	+1,150	+1,150

TOTAL, GEORGIA	108,682	124,962	131,332	+22,650	+6,370
HAWAII					
ARMY: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	.....	.....	16,500	+16,500	+16,500
NAVY:					
KANEOHE BAY MCAS: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	20,080	.....	.....	−20,080
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	19,600	19,600	19,600	.....	.....
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE:					
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	30,500	30,500	30,500	.....	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	5,390	5,390	5,390	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: PEARL HARBOR (FORD ISLAND): SOF-ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYSTEM FACILTY	12,800	12,800	12,800	.....	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
HICKAM AFB:					
ALTER AVIONICS SHOP	1,000	1,000	1,000	.....	.....
SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY ALTERATION	.....	.....	9,000	+9,000	+9,000
TOTAL, HAWAII	69,290	89,370	94,790	+25,500	+5,420
IDAHO					
NAVY: NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER, BAYVIEW: SHIPS MODEL ENGINEERING AND TEST FACILITY	7,150	7,150	7,150	.....	.....
AIR FORCE:					
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB:					
CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	.....	.....	9,400	+9,400	+9,400
DORMITORY	.....	9,300	.....	.....	−9,300
FLIGHTLINE FIRE STATION	6,545	6,545	6,545	.....	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
GOWEN FIELD (BOISE):					
C–130 ENGINE AND PROPELLER SHOPS	.....	.....	1,500	+1,500	+1,500
FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE AND CORROSION CONTROL FAC	4,500	4,500	4,500	.....	.....
TOTAL, IDAHO	18,195	27,495	29,095	+10,900	+1,600
ILLINOIS					
NAVY:					
GREAT LAKES NAVAL HOSPITAL: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	15,200	.....	.....	−15,200

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	22,900	22,900	22,900	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL (DFAS): RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)	14,400	14,400	14,400	.....	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT: FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE AND CORROSION CONTROL FAC	4,200	4,200	4,200	.....	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE: SCOTT AFB: CONSOLIDATED MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	2,300	2,300	2,300	.....	.....
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	43,800	59,000	43,800	.....	−15,200
INDIANA					
NAVY: CRANE NSWC: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	5,000	.....	.....	−5,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:					
MARION: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	.....	1,121	.....	.....	−1,121
CAMP ATTERBURY: CENTRAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	.....	4,747	4,747	+4,747	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
FT WAYNE MAP:					
BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	.....	.....	4,150	+4,150	+4,150
UPGRADE DRAINAGE SYSTEM	480	480	480	.....	.....
HULMAN FIELD (TERRE HAUTE):					
EXTEND CROSS WIND RUNWAY	.....	7,000	.....	.....	−7,000
FUEL CELL CORROSION CONTROL/FIRE STATION FACILITY	.....	.....	4,700	+4,700	+4,700
TOTAL, INDIANA	480	18,348	14,077	+13,597	−4,271
IOWA					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: DES MOINES IAP: AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEM AND AGE SHOP	.....	3,350	2,350	+2,350	−1,000

KANSAS					
ARMY:					
FORT RILEY:					
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE AND COMBAT PISTOL RANGES	.....	.....	3,350	+3,350	+3,350
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	26,000	26,000	26,000	.....	.....
AIR FORCE:					
MCCONNELL AFB:					
CONSOLIDATED EDUCATION CENTER	.....	.....	6,700	+6,700	+6,700
DORMITORY	8,480	8,480	8,480	.....	.....
DORMITORY	.....	7,100	7,100	+7,100	.....
FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	.....	.....	3,550	+3,550	+3,550
DEFENSE-WIDE: DFSC MCCONNELL AFB: ADD/ALTER JET FUEL STORAGE FACILITY (DBOF)	2,200	2,200	2,200	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: TOPEKA: US PROPERTY AND FISCAL OFFICE WAREHOUSE	.....	.....	1,917	+1,917	+1,917
ARMY RESERVE: WICHITA: ADD/ALTER USARC/NEW OMS/AMSA	5,670	5,670	5,670	.....	.....
TOTAL, KANSAS	42,350	49,450	64,967	+22,617	+15,517
KENTUCKY					
ARMY:					
FORT CAMPBELL:					
RAIL SPUR	16,100	16,100	16,100	.....	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE II)	.....	.....	9,900	+9,900	+9,900
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL (PHASE II)	35,000	35,000	35,000	.....	.....
FORT KNOX:					
URBAN TRAINING COMPLEX	.....	.....	13,000	+13,000	+13,000
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	.....	20,500	.....	.....	−20,500
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT CAMPBELL: SOF-SUPPLY SUPPORT FACILITY	4,200	4,200	4,200	.....	.....
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	55,300	75,800	78,200	+22,900	+2,400
LOUISIANA					
ARMY: FORT POLK: CONSOLIDATED RAIL/COMPLEX	.....	.....	4,800	+4,800	+4,800
AIR FORCE:					
BARKSDALE AFB:					
COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS SQUADRON COMPLEX	2,500	2,500	2,500	.....	.....
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	2,390	2,390	2,390	.....	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
DEFENSE-WIDE: DFSC BARKSDALE AFB: JET FUEL OFFLOAD FACILITY (DBOF)	4,300	4,300	4,300	.....	.....
NAVAL RESERVE:					
NEW ORLEANS NAS: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	.....	8,900	+8,900	+8,900
NEW ORLEANS NSA:					
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	.....	3,650	3,650	+3,650	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	8,956	.....	.....	−8,956
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADDITION	.....	1,330	.....	.....	−1,330
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	9,190	23,126	26,540	+17,350	+3,414
MAINE					
DEFENSE-WIDE: LORING AFB (DFAS): RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)	6,900	6,900	6,900	.....	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BANGOR: UPGRADE BASE FACILITIES	.....	.....	13,000	+13,000	+13,000
TOTAL, MAINE	6,900	6,900	19,900	+13,000	+13,000
MARYLAND					
NAVY:					
PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR STATION: WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE	1,270	1,270	1,270	.....	.....
PATUXENT RIVER NAWC: ANTE-CHAMBER AND LABORATORY SPACE	.....	10,000	.....	.....	−10,000
U.S. NAVAL ACADEMY: CHILLER SYSTEM UPGRADE	.....	.....	10,480	+10,480	+10,480
AIR FORCE:					
ANDREWS AFB:					
ALTER DORMITORY	5,990	5,990	5,990	.....	.....
FAMILY SUPPORT CENTER	.....	.....	2,150	+2,150	+2,150
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE: LIFE SAFETY/EMERGENCY ROOM UPGRADE	15,500	15,500	15,500	.....	.....
DFSC ANDREWS AFB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (DBOF)	12,100	12,100	12,100	.....	.....
FOREST GLEN (WRAIR): ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH (PHASE IV)	92,000	72,000	72,000	−20,000	.....

FORT MEADE: FRIENDSHIP ANNEX III PURCHASE	25,200	.....	.....	−25,200	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ANNAPOLIS: ARMORY ADDITION/ALTERATION	.....	.....	2,332	+2,332	+2,332
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ANDREWS AFB: MUNITIONS TRAILER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	500	500	500	.....	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE: ANDREWS AFB: CONSOLIDATED MEDICAL TRAINING	2,600	2,600	2,600	.....	.....
TOTAL, MARYLAND	155,160	119,960	124,922	−30,238	+4,962
MASSACHUSETTS					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
BARNES MAP (WESTFIELD): UPGRADE HEATING DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	500	500	500	.....	.....
MILFORD ANGS: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	.....	.....	1,900	+1,900	+1,900
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	500	500	2,400	+1,900	+1,900
MICHIGAN					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
BATTLE CREEK: COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX	.....	.....	6,000	+6,000	+6,000
SELFRIDGE ANGB (MT CLEMENS): UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEMS	3,000	3,000	3,000	.....	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE: SELFRIDGE ANGB (MT CLEMENS): FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE HANGAR	6,000	6,000	6,000	.....	.....
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	9,000	9,000	15,000	+6,000	+6,000
MINNESOTA					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL: CIVIL ENGINEERING CENTER	.....	.....	4,150	+4,150	+4,150
ARMY RESERVE: BUFFALO: USARC/OMS	4,260	4,260	4,260	.....	.....
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	4,260	4,260	8,410	+4,150	+4,150
MISSISSIPPI					
NAVY:					
PASGAGOULA NS: EXTEND QUAYWALL	.....	.....	4,990	+4,990	+4,990
STENNIS SPACE CENTER: OCEAN ACOUSTICS RESEARCH LABORATORY	.....	.....	7,960	+7,960	+7,960
AIR FORCE: KEESLER AFB: STUDENT DORMITORY	14,465	14,465	14,465	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP SHELBY: MULTI PURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX (PHASE II)	.....	10,000	5,000	+5,000	−5,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
GULFPORT: ROAD RELOCATION (PHASE II)	.....	.....	5,400	+5,400	+5,400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
THOMPSON FIELD (JACKSON): ALTERATION TO OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	.....	1,350	.....	.....	−1,350
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	14,465	25,815	37,815	+23,350	+12,000
MISSOURI					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ROSECRANS AIRPORT (ELWOOD): VEHICLE MAINT AND AVIATION GROUND EQUIP COMPLEX	.....	.....	4,000	+4,000	+4,000
MONTANA					
AIR FORCE: MALMSTROM AFB: DORMITORY	.....	.....	6,300	+6,300	+6,300
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: GREAT FALLS: COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	.....	.....	5,400	+5,400	+5,400
TOTAL, MONTANA	.....	.....	11,700	+11,700	+11,700
NEBRASKA					
DEFENSE-WIDE: OFFUTT AFB (DFAS): RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)	7,000	7,000	7,000	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: HASTINGS: MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RETS/MPMG	.....	.....	1,250	+1,250	+1,250
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LINCOLN: COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	.....	.....	7,300	+7,300	+7,300
TOTAL, NEBRASKA	7,000	7,000	15,550	+8,550	+,550
NEVADA					
NAVY:					
FALLON NAS:					
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	14,800	14,800	+14,800	.....
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	.....	1,400	.....	.....	−1,400
ENGINE TEST CELL/AIRCRAFT TEST ENCLOSURE	.....	.....	5,800	+5,800	+5,800

AIR FORCE:					
INDIAN SPRINGS: UAV OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	4,690	4,690	4,690	.....	.....
NELLIS AFB:					
DORMITORY	.....	.....	9,900	+9,900	+9,900
WEAPONS SCHOOL ADDITION	.....	.....	4,800	+4,800	+4,800
DEFENSE-WIDE: DFSC FALLON NAS: ADDITION TO HOT REFUELING AREA	2,100	2,100	2,100	.....	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
RENO IAP:					
C–130 AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON ADDITION	.....	.....	1,400	+1,400	+1,400
FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE/CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	4,600	4,600	4,600	.....	.....
TOTAL, NEVADA	11,390	27,590	48,090	+36,700	+20,500
NEW HAMPSHIRE					
ARMY RESERVE: MANCHESTER: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (PHASE II)	.....	.....	5,315	+5,315	+5,315
NEW JERSEY					
ARMY: PICATINNY ARSENAL: UPGRADE ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEM (PHASE III)	.....	7,500	.....	.....	−7,500
AIR FORCE: MCGUIRE AFB: DORMITORY	8,080	8,080	8,080	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT DIX: TRAINING/TRAINING TECHOLOGY BATTLE LAB (PHASE I)	.....	2,000	.....	.....	−2,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
ATLANTIC CITY: ADD/ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	380	380	380	.....	.....
MCGUIRE AFB: CONSOLIDATED SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	.....	9,900	9,900	+9,900	.....
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	8,460	27,860	18,360	+9,900	−9,500
NEW MEXICO					
ARMY: WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE: NATIONAL RANGE CONTROL CENTER (PHASE I)	.....	10,000	.....	.....	−10,000
AIR FORCE:					
CANNON AFB: LOGISTICS ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	.....	.....	7,100	+7,100	+7,100
KIRTLAND AFB:					
ADVANCED LASER RESEARCH FACILITY	.....	.....	10,000	+10,000	+10,000
MANZANO BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	.....	.....	6,300	+6,300	+6,300
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: KIRTLAND AFB: MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	3,000	3,000	3,000	.....	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	3,000	13,000	26,400	+23,400	+13,400
NEW YORK					
ARMY:					
FORT DRUM:					
RANGE CONTROL FACILITY	.....	3,800	.....	.....	−3,800
RAPID DEPLOYMENT OPERATIONS FACILITY	.....	7,600	.....	.....	−7,600
MILITARY TRAINING AND EDUCATION CENTER	.....	.....	6,500	+6,500	+6,500
DEFENSE-WIDE: GRIFFISS AFB (DFAS): RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)	10,200	10,200	10,200	.....	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
FRANCIS S. GABRESKI AIRPORT (SUFFOLK COUNTY): AIRCRAFT WASH AND DEICING FACILITY	659	659	659	.....	.....
HANCOCK AIRPORT (SYRACUSE): COMPOSITE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	.....	.....	4,500	+4,500	+4,500
STEWART AIRPORT (NEWBURGH):					
C–5 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	3,000	3,000	3,000	.....	.....
LANDFILL CLOSURE	.....	.....	2,200	+2,200	+2,200
AIR FORCE RESERVE:					
NIAGARA FALLS IAP:					
DEICING FACILITY	342	342	342	.....	.....
FIRE TRAINING SYSTEM	1,600	1,600	1,600	.....	.....
TOTAL, NEW YORK	15,801	27,201	29,001	+13,200	+1,800
NORTH CAROLINA					
ARMY: FORT BRAGG: LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE II)	.....	14,000	.....	.....	−14,000
NAVY:					
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE:					
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	5,190	5,190	5,190	.....	.....
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	2,530	2,530	2,530	.....	.....

TRAINING RANGE FACILITIES	9,800	9,800	9,800		
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (PHASE III)	3,230	3,230	3,230		
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: TACTICAL MISSION PLANNING FACILITY	1,630	1,630	1,630		
NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:					
AVIATION ARMAMENT SHOPS	4,140	4,140	4,140		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		3,250			−3,250
CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	12,900	12,900	12,900		
AIR FORCE:					
POPE AFB:					
C–130 ADD/ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS AMU FACILITY	3,850	3,850	3,850		
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	2,065	2,065	2,065		
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB:					
F–15 AGE FACILITY/POD STORAGE	2,405	2,405	2,405		
F–15 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU/ACADEMIC FACILITY	3,490	3,490	3,490		
F–15E ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITIES	3,460	3,460	3,460		
F–15E STUDENT OFFICER QUARTERS	1,925	1,925	1,925		
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
FORT BRAGG:					
SOF-COMPANY OPERATIONS AND SUPPLY COMPLEX	14,000	14,000	14,000		
CONSOL TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC (SMOKE BOMB HILL)	11,400	11,400	11,400		
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE IV)	89,000	89,000	89,000		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
STANLY COUNTY AIRPORT (ALBEMARLE):					
COMPOSITE MAINTENANCE FACILITY		5,000			−5,000
PARALLEL TAXIWAY		1,850			−1,850
ARMY RESERVE: FORT BRAGG: ADD/ALTER USARC/OMS	9,966	9,966	9,966		
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	180,981	205,081	180,981		−24,100
NORTH DAKOTA					
AIR FORCE:					
GRAND FORKS AFB:					
DINING FACILITY	5,985	5,985	5,985		
KC–135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE	6,485	6,485	6,485		
MINOT AFB: UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS, MISSILE FACILITY	3,940	3,940	3,940		

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BISMARCK: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY/ARMORY EXPANSION	.....	.....	3,650	+3,650	+3,650
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	16,410	16,410	20,060	+3,650	+3,650
OHIO					
AIR FORCE: WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB: ADD/ALTER ENGINEERING RESEARCH LAB	7,400	7,400	7,400	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
COLUMBUS CENTER (DFAS): DFAS OPERATIONS FACILITY (PHASE II)	20,822	20,822	20,822	.....	.....
DEFENSE CONSTRUCTION SUPPLY CENTER (COLUMBUS): CONSTRUCT ENTRANCE ROADWAY (DBOF)	600	600	600	.....	.....
GENTILE AIR FORCE STATION (DFAS): RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)	11,400	11,400	11,400	.....	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
MANSFIELD LAHM AIRPORT: COMPOSITE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	.....	.....	4,550	+4,550	+4,550
RICKENBACKER ANGB:					
COMPOSITE SQUADRON OPERATIONS AND HEADQUARTERS FAC	.....	6,100	.....	.....	−6,100
FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	.....	.....	5,700	+5,700	+5,700
AIR FORCE RESERVE:					
YOUNGSTOWN MAP:					
FIRE TRAINING SYSTEM	1,500	1,500	1,500	.....	.....
CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,600	3,600	3,600	.....	.....
WING HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	5,300	5,300	5,300	.....	.....
TOTAL, OHIO	50,622	56,722	60,872	+10,250	+4,150
OKLAHOMA					
AIR FORCE:					
TINKER AFB:					
CONSOLIDATED VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/METALS FACILITY	9,880	9,880	9,880	.....	.....
DEPOT AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FAC (PHASE II)	.....	5,400	.....	.....	−5,400

DEFENSE-WIDE: DFSC ALTUS AFB: UPGRADE C–5 HYDRANT SYSTEM (PHASE I) (DBOF)	3,200	3,200	3,200	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP GRUBER: MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	.....	.....	1,551	+1,551	+1,551
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT (OKLAHOMA CITY):					
ADD/ALTER SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	570	570	570	.....	.....
AEROMEDICAL EVACUATION TRAINING FACILITY	.....	.....	3,000	+3,000	+3,000
ARMY RESERVE: MUSKOGEE: ADD/ALTER USARC/OMS	3,125	3,125	3,125	.....	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:					
TINKER AFB:					
ALTER FACILITIES FOR CONVERSION	5,700	5,700	5,700	.....	.....
K–135 OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITIES	3,400	3,400	3,400	.....	.....
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	25,875	31,275	30,426	+4,551	−849
OREGON					
DEFENSE-WIDE: UMATILLA DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)	64,000	64,000	64,000	.....	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: KLAMATH FALLS IAP: INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES	.....	.....	2,500	+2,500	+2,500
TOTAL, OREGON	64,000	64,000	66,500	+2,500	+2,500
PENNSYLVANIA					
NAVY: PHILADELPHIA NS: FOUNDRY IMPROVEMENTS	.....	8,300	.....	.....	−8,300
ARMY RESERVE:					
GENEVA: ECS/AMSA/WAREHOUSE	9,352	9,352	9,352	.....	.....
ST MARYS: ADD/ALTER USARC/OMS	2,333	2,333	2,333	.....	.....
NAVAL RESERVE:					
NAS WILLOW GROVE: AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	750	750	750	.....	.....
NMCRC PITTSBURGH: PURCHASE RESERVE CENTER BUILDING AND LAND	3,480	3,480	3,480	.....	.....
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	15,915	24,215	15,915	.....	−8,300
RHODE ISLAND					
NAVY: NEWPORT NWC: STRATEGIC MARITIME RESEARCH CENTER (PHASE II)	.....	8,000	.....	.....	−8,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
SOUTH CAROLINA					
NAVY:					
PARRIS ISLAND MCRD:					
RECRUIT BATTALION OPERATIONS FACILITY	.....	2,540	2,550	+2,550	+10
INDOOR SIMULATED MARKSMANSHIP TRAINER BUILDING	.....	2,450	.....	.....	−2,450
AIR FORCE:					
CHARLESTON AFB:					
C–17 ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE/NDI SHOP	4,590	4,590	4,590	.....	.....
C–17 ADD/ALTER APRON/HYDRANT SYSTEM	13,170	13,170	13,170	.....	.....
C–17 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5,785	5,785	5,785	.....	.....
C–17 SQUADRON OPS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	5,685	5,685	5,685	.....	.....
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	.....	.....	5,700	+5,700	+5,700
DORMITORY	8,180	8,180	8,180	.....	.....
SHAW AFB:					
DORMITORY ALTERATIONS	.....	.....	8,800	+8,800	+8,800
SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS	3,300	3,300	3,300	.....	.....
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	2,365	2,365	2,365	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
CHARLESTON NAVAL SHIPYARD (DFAS): RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)	6,200	6,200	6,200	.....	.....
CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE: WRM/BEE FACILITY	1,300	1,300	1,300	.....	.....
DFSC SHAW AFB: RAILROAD JET FUEL FACILITY (DBOF)	2,900	2,900	2,900	.....	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: MCENTIRE ANGB: COMPOSITE SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	.....	.....	1,500	+1,500	+1,500
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	53,475	58,465	72,025	+18,550	+13,560
SOUTH DAKOTA					
AIR FORCE: ELLSWORTH AFB: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADD/ALTER	.....	.....	4,150	+4,150	+4,150

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: RAPID CITY: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY RAMP	.....	.....	4,329	+4,329	+4,329
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	.....	.....	8,479	+8,479	+8,479
TENNESSEE					
AIR FORCE:					
ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEV CENTER:					
UPGRADE ETF REFRIG SYSTEM PLANT	3,790	3,790	3,790	.....	.....
UPGRADE JET ENGINE AIR INDUCTION SYSTEM	2,991	8,691	2,991	.....	−5,700
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD;					
ASHLAND CITY: ARMORY	.....	.....	1,530	+1,530	+1,530
KINGSPORT: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	.....	2,755	.....	.....	−2,755
TOTAL, TENNESSEE	6,781	15,236	8,311	+1,530	−6,925
TEXAS					
ARMY:					
FORT HOOD:					
CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINING BUILDING II	5,900	5,900	5,900	.....	.....
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL (PHASE II)	35,000	35,000	35,000	.....	.....
DIRECT SUPPORT MOTOR POOL	.....	5,400	.....	.....	−5,400
ORGANIZATIONAL MOTOR POOL	.....	6,400	.....	.....	−6,400
FORT SAM HOUSTON: DINING FACILITY MODERNIZATION	.....	.....	3,100	+3,100	+3,100
NAVY:					
INGLESIDE NAVAL STATION:					
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (PHASE III)	9,600	9,600	9,600	.....	.....
MAGNETIC RANGE FACILITY AND LAND ACQUISITION	7,250	7,250	7,250	.....	.....
KINGSVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION: COMBINED FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	1,810	1,810	1,810	.....	.....
AIR FORCE:					
BROOKS AFB: STUDENT DORMITORY	.....	5,400	.....	.....	−5,400
DYESS AFB:					
ADD/ALTER DORMITORIES	5,895	5,895	5,895	.....	.....
CONSOLIDATED DINING HALL	.....	6,400	.....	.....	−6,400
KELLY AFB: WING SUPPORT FACILITY (DBOF)	3,250	3,250	3,250	.....	.....
LACKLAND AFB:					
PIF COMBAT ARMS TRAINING FACILITY	4,800	4,800	4,800	.....	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
UPGRADE RECRUIT DORMITORY	4,613	4,613	4,613	.....	.....
SHEPPARD AFB: CONSOLIDATED LOGISTICS COMPLEX	9,400	9,400	9,400	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
FORT BLISS: LIFE SAFETY UPGRADE	6,600	6,600	6,600	.....	.....
FORT HOOD: SOCIAL WORK SERVICES CLINIC	1,950	1,950	1,950	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:					
BRYAN: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	.....	.....	1,358	+1,358	+1,358
JASPER: ARMORY	.....	.....	2,103	+2,103	+2,103
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: FORT WORTH JRB: FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	3,450	3,450	4,500	+1,050	+1,050
NAVAL RESERVE: DYESS AFB: MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER	.....	.....	3,100	+3,100	+3,100
TOTAL, TEXAS	99,518	123,118	110,229	+10,711	−12,889
UTAH					
AIR FORCE: HILL AFB: CORRECT FIRE PROTECTION DEFICIENCIES	3,690	3,690	3,690	.....	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SALT LAKE CITY IAP: ELECTRONICS SECURITY SQUADRON COMPLEX	2,250	2,250	2,250	.....	.....
NAVAL RESERVE: MARCORESCEN CAMP WILLIAMS: RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	1,994	1,994	1,994	.....	.....
TOTAL, UTAH	7,934	7,934	7,934	.....	.....
VERMONT					
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: JERICHO: TRAINING RANGES	.....	.....	746	+746	+746
VIRGINIA					
ARMY: FORT EUSTIS: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	.....	3,550	3,550	+3,550	.....
NAVY:					
DAHLGREN NSWC: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	8,030	8,030	+8,030	.....
DAM NECK FCTC: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATION	.....	7,000	.....	.....	−7,000

NORFOLK NAV ADMIN COM ARMED FORCES COLLEGE: WARGAMING AND RESEARCH CENTER	12,900	12,900	12,900	.....	.....
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION:					
CONTROLLED INDUSTRIAL FACILITY	16,500	16,500	16,500	.....	.....
CRANE RAIL INTERCONNECT	.....	14,400	.....	.....	−14,400
DEPERMING FACILITY IMPROVEMENT	.....	.....	12,400	+12,400	+12,400
OILY WASTE COLLECTION SYSTEM	10,200	10,200	10,200	.....	.....
PIER ELECTRICAL IMPROVEMENTS	.....	6,200	.....	.....	−6,200
SHORE INTERMEDIATE MNT ACT ADDN AND UPGRADE	8,820	8,820	8,820	.....	.....
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS COMBAT DEV COMMAND:					
AMMUNITION STORAGE MAGAZINES (PHASE II)	2,060	2,060	2,060	.....	.....
BATTLE STAFF TRAINING FACILITY	3,580	3,580	3,580	.....	.....
SANITARY LANDFILL	8,930	8,930	8,930	.....	.....
AIR FORCE:					
LANGLEY AFB:					
ALTER HQ AIR COMBAT FACILITIES	5,160	5,160	5,160	.....	.....
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	2,845	2,845	2,845	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
CHARLOTTESVILLE: LAND ACQUISITION, NGIC	.....	.....	2,400	+2,400	+2,400
DFSC OCEANA NAS: JET FUEL STORAGE TANK (DBOF)	1,500	1,500	1,500	.....	.....
NORFOLK NAVAL AIR STATION: ENVIRONMENTAL PREVENTIVE MED UNIT ADDITION	1,250	1,250	1,250	.....	.....
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL HOSPITAL: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE VIII)	24,000	24,000	24,000	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: DANVILLE: ARMORY ADDITION/ALTERATION	.....	.....	1,789	+1,789	+1,789
ARMY RESERVE: FORT EUSTIS: USARC/OMS	10,273	10,273	10,273	.....	.....
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	108,018	147,198	136,187	+28,169	−11,011
WASHINGTON					
ARMY:					
FORT LEWIS:					
READINESS DEPLOYMENT FACILITY	3,600	3,600	3,600	.....	.....
TANK TRAIL EROSION MITIGATION (YAKIMA)	2,000	2,000	2,000	.....	.....
WHOLE BRIGADE BARRACKS COMPLEX	49,000	49,000	49,000	.....	.....
NAVY:					
NAVAL STATION, EVERETT:					
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	10,940	10,940	10,940	.....	.....
BERTHING PIER	14,800	14,800	14,800	.....	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
KEYPORT NUWC: ENVIRONMENTAL TEST FACILITY	.....	6,800	.....	.....	−6,800
AIR FORCE:					
FAIRCHILD AFB:					
KC–135 HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	10,875	10,875	10,875	.....	.....
KC–135 SQUADRON OPS AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	7,280	7,280	7,280	.....	.....
MCCHORD AFB:					
C–17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATOR	2,095	2,095	2,095	.....	.....
C–17 ADD/ALTER AVIONICS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,300	1,300	1,300	.....	.....
C–17 ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	1,100	1,100	1,100	.....	.....
C–17 BEDDOWN SUPPORT UTILITIES	5,985	5,985	5,985	.....	.....
C–17 CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	11,570	11,570	11,570	.....	.....
C–17 FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE FACILITY	7,480	7,480	7,480	.....	.....
C–17 MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	5,685	5,685	5,685	.....	.....
C–17 MODULAR REPLACEMENT CENTER	16,460	16,460	16,460	.....	.....
DORMITORY	5,390	5,390	5,390	.....	.....
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	155,560	162,360	155,560	.....	−6,800
WISCONSIN					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: VOLK FIELD (CAMP DOUGLAS): UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	850	850	850	.....	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:					
BILLY MITCHELL FIELD (MILWAUKEE):					
IMPROVE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM	950	950	950	.....	.....
MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	2,500	2,500	2,500	.....	.....
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	4,300	4,300	4,300	.....	.....
WYOMING					
AIR FORCE: FE WARREN AFB: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	.....	3,700	.....	.....	−3,700

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP GUERNSEY: COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE I)	.....	.....	4,100	+4,100	+4,100
TOTAL, WYOMING	.....	3,700	4,100	+4,100	+400
CONUS CLASSIFIED					
ARMY: CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS: CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4,600	4,600	4,600	.....	.....
BAHRAIN ISLAND					
NAVY: ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT UNIT: QUALITY OF LIFE IMPROVEMENTS	5,980	5,980	5,980	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: ASU BAHRAIN: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	4,600	4,600	4,600	.....	.....
TOTAL, BAHRAIN ISLAND	10,580	10,580	10,580	.....	.....
GERMANY					
ARMY:					
MANNHEIM:					
BEQ RENOVATION (TAYLOR BARRACKS)	.....	9,300	.....	.....	−9,300
BEQ RENOVATION (SPINELLI BARRACKS)	.....	8,100	.....	.....	−8,100
DARMSTADT: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (LINCOLN VILLAGE)	.....	7,300	.....	.....	−7,300
AIR FORCE:					
RAMSTEIN AB: DORMITORY	5,370	5,370	5,370	.....	.....
SPANGDAHLEM AB: FIRE STATION	1,890	1,890	1,890	.....	.....
TOTAL, GERMANY	7,260	31,960	7,260	.....	−24,700
GREECE					
NAVY:					
SOUDA BAY CRETE NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY:					
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	7,050	7,050	7,050	.....	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	.....	4,000	.....	.....	−4,000
TOTAL, GREECE	7,050	11,050	7,050	.....	−4,000
ITALY					
ARMY: CAMP EDERLE (VINCENZA): UPGRADE WATER SYSTEM	3,100	3,100	3,100	.....	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
NAVY:					
NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: AIR CARGO TERMINAL	8,620	8,620	8,620	.....	.....
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	15,700	15,700	15,700	.....	.....
AIR FORCE:					
AVIANO AB:					
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER	5,225	5,225	.....	−5,225	−5,225
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	1,935	1,935	1,935	.....	.....
UPGRADE FLIGHTLINE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYST	2,900	2,900	2,900	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: DFSC SIGONELLA NAS: EXTEND HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (DBOF)	6,100	6,100	6,100	.....	.....
TOTAL, ITALY	43,580	43,580	38,355	−5,225	−5,225
KOREA					
ARMY:					
CAMP CASEY: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	16,000	16,000	16,000	.....	.....
CAMP RED CLOUD: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	14,000	14,000	14,000	.....	.....
AIR FORCE: OSAN AB: DORMITORY	9,780	9,780	9,780	.....	.....
TOTAL, KOREA	39,780	39,780	39,780	.....	.....
PUERTO RICO					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PUERTO RICO IAP (SAN JUAN): REFUELING VEHICLE SHOP AND PAINT BAY	450	450	450	.....	.....
NAVY: NAVAL STATION ROOSEVELT ROADS: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	.....	.....	23,600	+23,600	+23,600
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO	450	450	24,050	+23,600	+23,600
SPAIN					
DEFENSE-WIDE: DFSC MORON AIR BASE: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (DBOF)	12,958	12,958	12,958	.....	.....

TURKEY					
AIR FORCE:					
INCIRLIK AB:					
ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	1,740	1,740	1,740	.....	.....
BASE OPS AND CONTROL TOWER COMPLEX	3,680	3,680	3,680	.....	.....
ADD/ALTER TRANSIENT DORMITORY	1,740	1,740	1,740	.....	.....
TOTAL, TURKEY	7,160	7,160	7,160	.....	.....
UNITED KINGDOM					
NAVY: ST MAWGAN JOINT MARITIME COMMUNICATION CTR: ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	4,700	4,700	4,700	.....	.....
AIR FORCE: RAF CROUGHTON: FIRE STATION	1,740	1,740	1,740	.....	.....
RAF LAKENHEATH:					
DORMITORY	7,950	7,950	7,950	.....	.....
DORMITORY	4,260	4,260	4,260	.....	.....
F–15E ADD/ALTER WEAPONS RELEASE FACILITY	2,615	2,615	2,615	.....	.....
F–15E ADD TO JET ENGINE SHOP	2,700	2,700	2,700	.....	.....
RAF MILDENHALL: DORMITORY	6,195	6,195	6,195	.....	.....
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	30,160	30,160	30,160	.....	.....
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED					
ARMY: OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED: STRATEGIC LOGISTICAL PREPO COMPLEX (PHASE II)	64,000	64,000	.....	−64,000	−64,000
AIR FORCE:					
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED:					
MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	6,735	6,735	6,735	.....	.....
SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	3,680	3,680	.....	−3,680	−3,680
WAR READINESS MATERIAL WAREHOUSE	2,215	2,215	.....	−2,215	−2,215
WAR READINESS MATERIAL WAREHOUSE	5,765	5,765	.....	−5,765	−5,765
TOTAL, OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED	82,395	82,395	6,735	−75,660	−75,660
NATO					
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	197,000	177,000	172,000	−25,000	−5,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED					
ARMY:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
HOST NATION SUPPORT	20,000	20,000	20,000	.....	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN	23,623	34,384	37,323	+13,700	+2,939
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,000	8,000	9,000	+4,000	+1,000
DEMOLITION	.....	10,000	.....	.....	−10,000
NAVY:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
ACCESS ROADS	300	300	300	.....	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN	42,559	50,959	53,709	+11,150	+2,750
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,115	8,115	9,973	+4,858	+1,858
DEMOLITION	.....	10,000	.....	.....	−10,000
AIR FORCE:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	9,328	12,328	6,328	−3,000	−6,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	43,387	47,387	29,797	−13,590	−17,590
DEMOLITION	.....	10,000	.....	.....	−10,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	47,765	47,765	42,765	−5,000	−5,000
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	9,500	4,500	3,000	−6,500	−1,500
PLANNING AND DESIGN:					
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,305	2,305	5,205	+2,900	+2,900
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM	4,124	4,124	4,124	.....	.....
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	862	862	862	.....	.....
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	4,948	4,948	6,948	+2,000	+2,000
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	12,239	12,239	17,139	+4,900	+4,900

UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:					
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	4,000	4,000	4,000	.....	.....
DEFENSE MEDICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY	5,142	5,142	5,142	.....	.....
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	6,128	6,128	6,128	.....	.....
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	3,200	3,200	3,200	.....	.....
DOD DEPENDENT SCHOOLS	2,000	2,000	2,000	.....	.....
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	1,404	1,404	1,404	.....	.....
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	21,874	21,874	21,874	.....	.....
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE: MILITARY UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	.....	10,000	.....	.....	−10,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,100	3,300	40,915	+38,815	+37,615
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,500	5,500	27,906	+22,406	+22,406
ACCESS ROADS	.....	.....	2,500	+2,500	+2,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
PLANNING AND DESIGN	7,725	8,925	26,725	+19,000	+17,800
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,100	4,100	9,100	+5,000	+5,000
ARMY RESERVE:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,480	3,480	13,480	+10,000	+10,000
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	.....	1,700	11,700	+11,700	+10,000
NAVAL RESERVE:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,884	3,034	11,884	+10,000	+8,850
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	.....	1,000	10,000	+10,000	+9,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE:					
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:					
PLANNING AND DESIGN	5,900	5,900	15,900	+10,000	+10,000
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,326	4,326	9,326	+5,000	+5,000
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	275,705	339,116	430,644	+154,939	+91,528
WORLDWIDE VARIOUS					
ARMY: VARIOUS LOCATIONS: RESCISSION, FISCAL YEAR 1994	.....	.....	−2,028	−2,028	−2,028

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
NAVY:					
REDUCTIONS FOR PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS: REDUCTION FOR PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS	−12,000			+12,000	
VARIOUS LOCATIONS:					
RESCISSION, FISCAL YEAR 1992		−6,900			+6,900
RESCISSION, FISCAL YEAR 1993		−2,800	−9,000	−9,000	−6,200
RESCISSION, FISCAL YEAR 1994		−2,300	−2,300	−2,300	
AIR FORCE: VARIOUS LOCATIONS: RESCISSION, FISCAL YEAR 1995			−2,100	−2,100	−2,100
DEFENSE-WIDE; VARIOUS LOCATIONS: RESCISSION, FISCAL YEAR 1996			−7,000	−7,000	−7,000
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE VARIOUS	−12,000	−12,000	−22,428	−10,428	−10,428
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY					
ALABAMA: REDSTONE ARSENAL (70 UNITS):		8,000			−8,000
ALASKA:					
FORT RICHARDSON HOUSING REVITALIZATION (48 UNITS)			7,800	+7,800	+7,800
FORT WAINWRIGHT HOUSING REVITALIZATION (104 UNITS)			8,600	+8,600	+8,600
HAWAII: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS (54 UNITS)	10,000	10,000	10,000		
KENTUCKY: FORT CAMPBELL HOUSING REVITAL (104 UNITS) (PHASE II)			9,600	+9,600	+9,600
NORTH CAROLINA: FORT BRAGG (88 UNITS)	9,800	9,800	9,800		
PENNSYLVANIA:					
TOBYHANNA AD (ACQUIRE AND DEMOLISH 200 UNITS)		890			−890
FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENTS (42 UNITS)			2,300	+2,300	+2,300
TEXAS:					
FORT BLISS (70 UNITS) (PHASE I)		12,000			−12,000
FORT HOOD (140 UNITS)	18,500	18,500	18,500		
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	33,750	114,450	109,756	+76,006	−4,694
PLANNING	2,963	2,963	12,963	+10,000	+10,000
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	75,013	176,603	189,319	+114,306	+12,716

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:					
SERVICES ACCOUNT	53,684	53,684	53,684	.....	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	49,057	49,057	49,057	.....	.....
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	270,391	270,391	270,391	.....	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,241	1,241	1,241	.....	.....
LEASING	227,515	227,515	227,515	.....	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	84,678	84,678	84,678	.....	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	525,893	570,893	525,893	.....	−45,000
INTEREST PAYMENTS	7	7	7	.....	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,212,466	1,257,466	1,212,466	.....	−45,000
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,287,479	1,434,069	1,401,785	+114,306	−32,284
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY					
ARIZONA: YUMA MCAS (COMMUNITY CENTER)	709	709	709	.....	.....
CALIFORNIA:					
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE (128 UNITS)	19,483	29,483	19,483	.....	−10,000
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION (276 UNITS)	39,837	39,837	39,837	.....	.....
SAN DIEGO PUBLIC WORKS CENTER (366 UNITS)	48,719	63,429	48,719	.....	−14,710
TWENTYNINE PALMS MARCOR AIR-GRND COMB CTR. (COMMUNITY CENTER)	1,982	1,982	1,982	.....	.....
TWENTYNINE PALMS MARCOR AIR-GRND COMB CTR. (HOUSING OFFICE)	956	956	.....	−956	−956
FLORIDA: MAYPORT NS (100 UNITS)	.....	10,000	.....	.....	−10,000
HAWAII:					
KANEOHE BAY MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (54 UNITS)	11,676	11,676	11,676	.....	.....
PEARL HARBOR PUBLIC WORKS CENTER (264 UNITS)	52,586	52,586	52,586	.....	.....
MAINE: BRUNSWICK NAS (72 UNITS) (PHASE I)	.....	10,925	.....	.....	−10,925
MARYLAND: PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR TEST CTR (COMMUNITY CENTER)	1,233	1,233	1,233	.....	.....
NORTH CAROLINA:					
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE (COMMUNITY CENTER)	845	845	845	.....	.....
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE (125 UNITS)	.....	13,360	.....	.....	−13,360
SOUTH CAROLINA:					
BEAUFORT MCAS (200 UNITS)	.....	19,110	.....	.....	−19,110
BEAUFORT MCAS (FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENTS)	.....	.....	5,900	+5,900	+5,900

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
TEXAS:					
CORPUS CHRISTI NAVAL COMPLEX (156 UNITS)	.....	17,425	.....	.....	−17,425
KINGSVILLE NAS (32 UNITS) (PHASE I)	.....	7,550	.....	.....	−7,550
VIRGINIA:					
CHESAPEAKE NSGA NORTHWEST VA (COMMUNITY CENTER)	741	741	741	.....	.....
WALLOPS ISLAND AEGIS CSC (20 UNITS)	2,975	2,975	2,975	.....	.....
WASHINGTON:					
BANGOR NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE (HOUSING OFFICE)	934	934	.....	−934	−934
EVERETT NAVAL STATION PUGET SOUND (100 UNITS)	15,015	15,015	15,015	.....	.....
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	183,483	209,133	193,483	+10,000	−15,650
PLANNING	22,552	22,552	23,142	+590	+590
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	403,726	532,456	418,326	+14,600	−114,130
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:					
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,290	1,290	1,290	.....	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	34,621	34,621	34,621	.....	.....
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	204,967	204,967	204,967	.....	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT	67,413	67,413	67,413	.....	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	88,707	88,707	88,707	.....	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	508,632	552,632	508,632	.....	−44,000
LEASING	108,531	108,531	108,531	.....	.....
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	80	80	80	.....	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,014,241	1,058,241	1,014,241	.....	−44,000
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY	1,417,967	1,590,697	1,432,567	+14,600	−158,130

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

ALASKA:					
EIELSON AFB (FIRE STATION)	2,950	2,950	2,950	.....	.....
EIELSON AFB (72 UNITS)	21,127	21,127	21,127	.....	.....
CALIFORNIA:					
BEALE AFB (56 UNITS)	8,893	8,893	8,893	.....	.....
LOS ANGELES AFB (25 UNITS)	.....	6,425	.....	.....	−6,425
TRAVIS AFB (70 UNITS)	8,631	8,631	8,631	.....	.....
VANDENBERG AFB (112 UNITS)	20,891	20,891	20,891	.....	.....
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: BOLLING AFB (40 UNITS)	5,000	5,000	5,000	.....	.....
FLORIDA:					
EGLIN AFB (HURLBURT FIELD) (1 UNIT)	249	249	.....	−249	−249
MACDILL AFB (56 UNITS)	8,822	8,822	8,822	.....	.....
PATRICK AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY)	853	853	853	.....	.....
PATRICK AFB (HOUSING SUPPLY AND STORAGE FACILITY)	756	756	756	.....	.....
PATRICK AFB (HOUSING OFFICE)	821	821	.....	−821	−821
TYNDALL AFB (42 UNITS)	.....	6,000	.....	.....	−6,000
GEORGIA: ROBINS AFB (46 UNITS)	.....	5,252	.....	.....	−5,252
LOUISIANA: BARKSDALE AFB (80 UNITS)	9,570	9,570	9,570	.....	.....
MASSACHUSETTS: HANSCOM AFB (32 UNITS) (PHASE III)	.....	5,100	5,100	+5,100	.....
MISSOURI: WHITEMAN AFB (68 UNITS)	9,600	9,600	9,600	.....	.....
MONTANA: MALMSTROM AFB (98 UNITS)	.....	.....	15,688	+15,688	+15,688
NEVADA: NELLIS AFB (50 UNITS) (PHASE III)	.....	7,955	.....	.....	−7,955
NEW MEXICO:					
KIRTLAND AFB (50 UNITS)	5,450	5,450	5,450	.....	.....
KIRTLAND AFB (37 UNITS)	.....	.....	6,400	+6,400	+6,400
NORTH DAKOTA:					
GRAND FORKS AFB (66 UNITS)	7,784	7,784	7,784	.....	.....
MINOT AFB (46 UNITS)	8,740	8,740	8,740	.....	.....
OHIO: WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB (HOUSING IMP) (52 UNITS) (PHASE XI	.....	.....	6,000	+6,000	+6,000
TEXAS:					
LACKLAND AFB (HOUSING OFFICE)	450	450	.....	−450	−450
LACKLAND AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY)	350	350	350	.....	.....
LACKLAND AFB (50 UNITS)	6,500	11,500	6,500	.....	−5,000
UTAH: HILL AFB IMPROVEMENTS (92 UNITS) (PHASE I)	.....	.....	7,500	+7,500	+7,500
WASHINGTON: MCCHORD AFB (40 UNITS)	5,659	5,659	5,659	.....	.....

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
UNITED KINGDOM: RAF LAKENHEATH (PHASE I)	.....	.....	8,300	+8,300	+8,300
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	88,550	125,650	98,550	+10,000	−27,100
PLANNING	9,590	9,590	12,350	+2,760	+2,760
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	231,236	304,068	291,464	+60,228	−12,604
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:					
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	5,619	5,619	5,619	.....	.....
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	30	30	30	.....	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	51,185	51,185	51,185	.....	.....
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	167,985	167,985	167,985	.....	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT	32,257	32,257	32,257	.....	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	36,228	36,228	36,228	.....	.....
LEASING	108,083	108,083	108,083	.....	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	428,087	439,087	428,087	.....	−11,000
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	829,474	840,474	829,474	.....	−11,000
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,060,710	1,144,542	1,120,938	+60,228	−23,604
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE					
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (NSA)	50	50	50	.....	.....
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (DLA)	3,821	3,821	3,821	.....	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DLA)	500	500	500	.....	.....
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	4,371	4,371	4,371	.....	.....
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:					
SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA)	355	355	355	.....	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA)	137	137	137	.....	.....
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA)	500	500	500	.....	.....

UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA)	405	405	405	.....	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA)	35	35	35	.....	.....
LEASING (DIA)	14,366	14,366	14,366	.....	.....
LEASING (NSA)	11,271	11,271	D	.....	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA)	184	184	184	.....	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA)	2,262	2,262	2,262	.....	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA)	32	32	32	.....	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA)	70	70	70	.....	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA)	206	206	206	.....	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA)	616	616	616	.....	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA)	524	524	524	.....	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	30,963	30,963	30,963	.....	.....
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	35,334	35,334	35,334	.....	.....
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND					
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	20,000	35,000	20,000	.....	−15,000
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND					
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND	36,181	36,181	36,181	.....	.....
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART II					
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART II	352,800	352,800	352,800	.....	.....
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART III					
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART III	971,925	971,925	971,925	.....	.....
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV					
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV	1,182,749	1,182,749	1,182,749	.....	.....
TOTAL, BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	2,507,474	2,507,474	2,507,474	.....	.....
GRAND TOTAL	9,132,309	10,032,309	9,832,309	+700,000	−200,000
RECAPITULATION					
ARMY	434,723	603,584	448,973	+14,250	−154,611

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Senate compared to—	
				Budget request	House allowance
RESCISSIONS	.....	.....	−2,028	−2,028	−2,028
NAVY	525,346	724,476	642,484	+117,138	−81,992
RESCISSIONS	.....	−12,000	−11,300	−11,300	+700
AIR FORCE	603,059	678,914	704,689	+101,630	+25,775
RESCISSIONS	.....	.....	−2,100	−2,100	−2,100
DEFENSE AGENCIES	812,945	772,345	771,758	−41,187	−587
RESCISSIONS	.....	.....	−7,000	−7,000	−7,000
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	.....	10,000	.....	.....	−10,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	7,600	41,316	142,948	+135,348	+101,632
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	75,394	118,394	224,444	+149,050	+106,050
ARMY RESERVE	48,459	50,159	75,474	+27,015	+25,315
NAVAL RESERVE	10,983	33,169	49,883	+38,900	+16,714
AIR FORCE RESERVE	51,655	51,655	67,805	+16,150	+16,150
TOTAL, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	2,570,164	3,072,012	3,106,030	+535,866	+34,018
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	197,000	177,000	172,000	−25,000	−5,000
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,287,479	1,434,069	1,401,785	+114,306	−32,284
(CONSTRUCTION)	(+75,013)	(+176,603)	(+189,319)	(+114,306)	(+12,716)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(+1,212,466)	(+1,257,466)	(+1,212,466)	.....	(−45,000)
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY	1,417,967	1,590,697	1,432,567	+14,600	−158,130
(CONSTRUCTION)	(+403,726)	(+532,456)	(+418,326)	(+14,600)	(−114,130)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(+1,014,241)	(+1,058,241)	(+1,014,241)	.....	(−44,000)
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,060,710	1,144,542	1,120,938	+60,228	−23,604
(CONSTRUCTION)	(+231,236)	(+304,068)	(+291,464)	(+60,228)	(−12,604)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(+829,474)	(+840,474)	(+829,474)	.....	(−11,000)
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	35,334	35,334	35,334	.....	.....
(CONSTRUCTION)	(+4,371)	(+4,371)	(+4,371)	.....	.....
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(+30,963)	(+30,963)	(+30,963)	.....	.....

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	20,000	35,000	20,000	.....	−15,000
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND	36,181	36,181	36,181	.....	.....
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	2,507,474	2,507,474	2,507,474	.....	.....
GRAND TOTAL	9,132,309	10,032,309	9,832,309	+700,000	−200,000

○